## SCHOOL RENEWAL PLAN

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

School Renewal Plan Signature Page	1
Assurances for School Renewal Plan	2
Stakeholder Involvement for School Renewal Plan	7
District Requested Strategic Renewal Plan Waiver	8
Needs Assessment Data	10
Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings	11
Performance Goals and Action Plans	18

## **NEEDS ASSESSMENT DATA**

Provide the link to your school's most recent School Report Card: <a href="https://www.screportcards.com/overview/?q=eT0yMDE4JnQ9RCZzaWQ9NDIwNTAwMA">https://www.screportcards.com/overview/?q=eT0yMDE4JnQ9RCZzaWQ9NDIwNTAwMA</a>

**Directions:** Provide additional school's needs assessment data including both formative and

summative assessments used to gauge student learning. (Charts, graphs, or other

formats of data may be used.)

SchoolNm	TopCSIScoreE	TopCSIScoreH	NUM_OVERALL
James F. Byrnes High School	28.86	30.92	25.22
Wellford Academy Of Science And Technology	28.86	30.92	23.38
Abner Creek Academy	28.86	30.92	27.83

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF NEEDS ASSESSMENT DATA FINDINGS

Per SBE Regulation 43-261, the annual needs assessment will provide focus for planning teams to set priorities for the plan. The comprehensive needs assessment must identify targeted areas of discrepancy between the desired performance levels and the current status as indicated by available data. Any discrepancies in the following areas identified by the school and district report cards must be included in the plan: (1) achievement, (2) achievement by subgroups, (3) graduation rates, (4) attendance, (5) discipline, (6) teacher/administrator quality and professional growth, and (7) other priority areas.

Measurable performance goals, written in five-year increments, shall be developed to address the major areas of discrepancy found in the needs assessment in key areas reported in the district and school report cards.

State Report Card for districts and schools data: http://ed.sc.gov/data/report-cards/state-report-cards/

**Directions:** In the appropriate boxes, use school data to identify areas in need of improvement. Required areas to be addressed: Student Achievement, Teacher/Administrator Quality, and School Climate.

#### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT

Elementary School (K-5)

Two of the District's Elementary schools (Wellford and Abner Creek) have been named ATSI schools based on the overall WPI of the Disabled Children subgroup. The district has analyzed the data used in calculating the metric and has determined that the focus will be decreasing the percentage of students performing at the "Does Not Meets Expectations" level on SC READY ELA assessment.

Middle School (6-8)

High School (9-12)

James F Byrnes High School has been named an ATSI school based on the overall WPI of the Disabled Children subgroup. The district has analyzed the data used in calculating the metric and has determined that the focus will be increasing the number of disabled students who are Career Ready.

## TEACHER QUALITY

## SCHOOL CLIMATE

#### **OTHER**

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF NEEDS ASSESSMENT/FINDINGS**

### Community

District Five, located on the western edge of Spartanburg County, serves students from several municipalities as well as those from unincorporated areas. The cities, towns, and municipalities of Duncan, Lyman, Reidville, Moore, Startex, Wellford, and Greer are all found within the District Five attendance boundaries. Located midway between the metropolitan areas of Greenville and Spartanburg, District Five has seen radical changes in the past twenty years. Once a rural area comprised of numerous textile plants and agriculture concerns, the area is now home to a vibrant international manufacturing and industrial community. The last two decades have seen an influx of highly respected companies, including leading employers such as: BMW Manufacturing's Plant Spartanburg, which employs almost 8000 associates and is the largest BMW manufacturing facility in the world; DraexImaier Automotive of America, who recently completed a \$35 million expansion employing approximately 800; SEW Eurodrive and its 500+ associates; and Albis Barnet with over 250 emloyees.

Toray, Kobelco, Sterling Contract Packaging, and Ritrama all have major facilities that have opened within 10 miles of Duncan, and will employ over 1000 additional workers in the coming years. Additionally, since 2009, 9000 jobs have been added to the workforce countywide. District Five enjoys partnerships with these companies, as well as many others in the area, and works in conjunction with them to develop and educate a workforce that can confidently handle the challenges they will face after graduation.

District Five Schools of Spartanburg County has developed a business partner program specifically designed to bring attention to those companies that maintain an ongoing, special relationship with our district. The contribution of time and resources helps to create a successful school-business partnership. Benefits to the company or organization are numerous, including: increased employee morale, cultivating a reliable source of well-trained

employees, reaping the benefits of an engaged business community, and positive publicity in the school system and the community. The partnerships that have been forged with local business and community organizations provide valuable resources for the learning environment. These partnerships encourage learning, while providing real life experiences and a connection between theory and application. They provide opportunities for students to gain insight into possible careers through work-based-learning such as shadowing and internships that are provided through selected partners. Many of our partners also provide mentors and tutors to students at all levels, elementary through secondary. This mentoring furnishes these students a relationship with a caring adult and an encouragement to learn. Community partners are also a valuable resource through their service on advisory councils and program committees where they provide guidance and recommendations to help the district stay in touch with current trends in business and industry.

Once a small, country school district, District Five has changed dramatically. With the exception of two years, the enrollment of District Five Schools has increased annually since 2000. Now serving 7,866 students in kindergarten through grade 12, District Five enrollment has grown by almost 43% since 2000. While growth has slowed slightly over the last strategic plan cycle, the addition of the jobs mentioned above and expected continuation of growth in and around the district, the population is expected to increase dramatically over the next ten years.

Our current student population is comprised of 64.9% Caucasian students, 19.1% African-American students, and 16% of other ethnicities. The growth of other ethnicities has increased more than 5% since 2010, a reflection of the continued growth of international manufacturing companies in the area. Of the 86 school districts in South Carolina, District Five ranks 21st in the percentage of enrollment classified as minorities.

Additional growth in the district has brought about the need for additional space to provide high quality instruction to our students. In 2010, the district opened its new Fine Arts Center, with rehearsal space for the band, choral and orchestra programs at Byrnes High School, as well as a 1000-seat performance hall with state of the art sound and lighting systems. The facility is available for use by school performing groups as well as those in the community. In May 2015, District Five began the construction of a \$2.8-million-dollar addition at Lyman Elementary School. The 14,000 square foot addition includes eight new classrooms for students in grades 2-4 and two new kindergarten classrooms. Construction was completed in August, 2016. In June 2015, District Five began the construction of a \$26-million-dollar classroom addition at James F. Byrnes High School. The 96,951 square foot facility provides 36 additional classrooms and support spaces. In addition, the new construction will provide increased facility security allowing all classrooms to be under one roof, and more up-to-date instructional spaces replacing classrooms that were built in 1955. Students and staff occupied the new facility in the spring of 2017.

### **Students and Staff**

The schools of District Five welcome 8,805 students (April, 2019) of diverse backgrounds and economic means. 52.3% of our students meet the revised South Carolina poverty index, the lowest poverty index of the Spartanburg County districts. The poverty index ranks as the tenth lowest among the 86 school districts in our South Carolina. We have two schools, Duncan Elementary and Wellford Elementary that are classified as federal Title I Schools. In 2014, over 18% of our families with children under the age of 18 are living below the poverty line. Following the trend of the international business climate in our area, an international culture has developed in our schools as well. We are currently serving over 6.3% of students, speaking 17 different languages, in our English Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) program.

The District Five staff, a group of caring, qualified, and dedicated adults, strives to meet the needs of this diverse student audience every day. We have 612 certified teachers serving in our twelve schools. Of those, 137 hold a Bachelor's degree, 60 have added 18 hours to their Bachelors, 241 have earned a Master's degree, 163 have earned the Masters plus 30 hours, and 11 are holding a Doctorate. Fourteen percent of our teaching staff (83 teachers), currently hold National Board Certification. With an average salary of \$50,218, a retention rate of 91.2%, and an attendance rate of 93.9%, the professional staff in District Five is very stable and dependable. In addition to our certified staff, we have 305 classified, or non-certified employees serving in a wide variety of roles. From providing bus transportation, serving as athletic trainers, providing assistance to teachers, maintaining our facilities, providing secretarial skills and support, to keeping our facilities immaculately cleaned, our classified staff meets a vital need in District Five. To validate the excellence that we have on our staff, we annually honor a teacher and a support employee of the year at each of our schools, and at the district level. In addition, staff members who have received awards or performed above the normal call of duty are routinely invited to our School Board meetings to receive recognition for their efforts.

## **Programs and Services**

District Five offers an array of programs and services for its stakeholders, while meeting the diverse needs of the students. The extensive curriculum includes a variety of programs for all students, including gifted and talented, career and technology education, special education, college preparatory, preschool and early childhood, parenting, at-risk, magnet schools, single gender, Advanced Placement, reading and math intervention, English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL), dual credit, foreign language in all schools, performing and visual arts, physical education and athletics, extra-curricular, credit recovery, transition, and adult education courses.

In 2013, District Five Schools made a commitment to enhance opportunities for students to learn through the use of educational technologies. The initiative began with the realization that vibrant technological experiences are necessary in order to prepare students for jobs in the 21st century and beyond. Technology is changing our entire world and our profession must follow suit.

District Five began our technology overhaul by hiring additional personnel specializing in specific areas of educational technologies. First, a new Director of Technology was hired who was well versed in all technologies. The Director of Instructional Technology position was created in order to transition focus from the equipment to the teaching and learning. District Five also emphasized the use of resident experts by identifying Technology Trainers to help teachers begin technology integration. The trainers worked with teachers during the summer and throughout the school year.

After much research, the district team decided to create a 1:1 learning environment with Dell laptops over a 5-year span beginning in 2014. Teachers were asked to submit grants explaining how they expected to use their laptops in years one and two. The district planned to buy twenty percent per year until it reached one hundred percent in year five; however, the district was able to move quicker than originally anticipated by moving 1:1 in grades 3 - 8 in 2016. The expedited roll-out came on the heels of a successful pilot program in 5th grade at one intermediate school. As of 2017-2018 the district will be fully 1-1 in grades 3-12. Other technologies such as laptops and iPads are also available to every teacher in grades K-2. Most academic classrooms are now equipped with a Promethean Board or ActivPanel. Now the emphasis has shifted to personnel - both adding new positions and providing appropriate professional development. In 2016 the district added a Chief Technology Officer (CTO) to help provide leadership and communication in a fast-growing technology department. More importantly, 2.5 Instructional Technology coaches were added to be shared among all of the schools in the district. The professional development opportunities are twofold: 1) Each teacher chose a learning cohort lead by teachers in an area of need and/or interest. Technology is embedded in each session with assistance from our technology staff. 2.) Model teachers were selected by principals for advanced training through Dell's Advanced Learning Partnership. These model teachers were not necessarily technology savvy, but they love our students and are willing to try new strategies in order to keep them engaged in learning and prepared for the 21st century. Models receive intense training from Dell and also receive training through our in-house coaching cycles. Teachers from all schools are required to visit the model classrooms. This design has enabled teachers to share their craft with each other. So much growth has taken place through this process!

Finally, we have learned that the 1:1 initiative or d5live is not about the device - it's about the learning. Our teachers are moving from lecturers to facilitators. Students are no longer passive in their learning. They are creating authentic products through research and collaboration. Of course the device helps the students and the teachers, but it's just one tool in the toolbox. Our entire culture for learning has shifted to meet the demands of the 21st century learner.

District Five's Special Services offers supplementary aids, services and supports to struggling learners who are English Language Learners and/or who have impairments/disabilities requiring accommodations, modifications, and/or specially designed instruction. A full continuum of IDEA services (regular, resource, separate) is available in each school. Students are served in their home zone schools with access to core instruction provided by qualified, licensed general education teachers and specially designed instruction provided by qualified, licensed special education teachers. Unified systems of implementation and documentation of IDEA procedural due process, 504 due process, and Medicaid billing processes were successfully implemented between 2014 and 2016. A unified system of implementation and documentation of response to intervention processes will be fully implemented between 2016 and 2018. Collaborative professional development focused on inclusive teaching practices, reading proficiency, universal design for learning, multi-tiered systems of support, and frequent curriculum based measures of progress implemented between 2014 and 2019 fulfill the current requirements of South Carolina's Regulation 43-243, the Read to Succeed Act, and Act 155.

Since 2016, District 5 Schools of Spartanburg has redesigned its Professional Development model into one of continuous improvement. We felt it was important for teachers to choose their own learning paths that aligned

with our district initiatives, and then to delve deeper into their topic of interest. In the early spring, the directors at the district level set down to determine all the district initiatives that represented each department. Once the initiatives were identified, teachers were asked to look at the district initiatives, and determine which area they would like to gain more professional development.

A needs assessment was completed by each certified teacher in the district. After the needs assessment was completed, the directors reassembled to analyze the needs of our teachers. Once the analysis was done, the directors wrote professional development cohort descriptions. Each teacher was then able to give a first, second and third choice on the cohort they would like to be a participate in. Each cohort would meet once a month and the teachers would be able to expand their knowledge of their chosen area for an entire semester. This would give each teacher an opportunity to participate in two cohorts per year.

In addition, District 5 Schools of Spartanburg redesigned our Professional Development model. We felt it was important for teachers to choose their own learning paths that aligned with our district initiatives, and then to delve deeper into their topic of interest. In the early spring, the directors at the district level set down to determine all the district initiatives that represented each department. Once the initiatives were identified, teachers were asked to look at the district initiatives, and determine which area they would like to gain more professional development.

The district also felt that it was important to set up a non-threatening atmosphere for teachers to learn. Therefore, the district asked for our own teachers to act as facilitators for these cohorts. If a teacher was interested in serving as a facilitator for these cohorts, he/she filled out a facilitator's application. Once these applications were received, the instructional staff placed facilitators as leaders for each cohort.

#### **Student Performance**

A comprehensive assessment program is offered in District Five, extending the testing services mandated by the state. Students have consistently scored at or above state standards on all achievement tests. Analysis of student performance on these assessments provides data to guide decision-making at the district, school and classroom level. Data is also used to identify and assist all students with specific academic needs and to evaluate instructional effectiveness. Priority has shifted in recent years to focus more on formative data that can be used to verify mastery of standards. The district is developing and implementing common benchmark assessments in mathematics, as well as performance tasks and writing prompts in ELA classrooms to provide data for analysis and identification of instructional as well as curricular strengths and weaknesses. Teachers also have a wealth of technological tools at their disposal to monitor and track mastery of standards. NWEA's Measurement of Academic Progress (MAP) instrument provides teachers with a nationally-normed RIT score and percentile rank of each student in their class at least twice each year. MAP also provides an extensive list of mastered skills by student in the Learning Continuum, offered as part of the yearly program subscription.

In 2017-18, the district moved from MAP to the iReady formative assessment and instruction software. Comprehensive data reports are provided to teachers and administrators to assist in planning and developing instruction. In the strategic plan, goals are still listed as MAP goals, but the measure has changed to the iReady system.

## **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

During the fall of 2016, personnel in District Five Schools of Spartanburg County assimilated a strategic planning steering committee whose main purpose was to complete a comprehensive needs assessment. The steering committee totaled 55 members and comprised: (1) business/community members, (2) district office administrators, (3) parent/guardians, (4) teachers, (5) paraprofessionals, (6) school administrators, (7) university partners, and (8) the district superintendent.

The comprehensive needs assessment completed by the steering committee addressed the following areas: (1) Student Achievement in grades K-8, (2) Student Achievement in grades 9-12, (3) Teacher/Administrator Quality, (4) School Climate, and (5) District Priorities (Infrastructure). For each of these areas, a plan of action has been developed. Information gained from the school/district report cards, test results, questionnaires, surveys, community meetings, and school personnel meetings was utilized to develop this strategic plan. Strengths, weaknesses, and areas that need improving were determined by the steering committee. The committee members left their planning session with an umbrella goal and specific areas that the team felt needed to be addressed in the new strategic plan. The group was broken into Action Teams responsible for taking the umbrella goals and creating specific performance targets that could be realistically achieved over the next five years. The Action Teams also

developed the strategies that supported each performance target, as well as outlined a series of specific action steps that will provide direction and focus to reaching each goal.

The Action Teams presented their portions of the strategic plan to the steering committee on February 16 for consensus. The completed plan was reviewed by the Board of Trustees at the February 27 meeting and the plan was then released to the schools to create their own school-level plans based on the district plan. In SY 2018-19, new goals were adopted to align with the state targets for student performance. In grades 3-8, 90% of students will score at the Meets or Exceeds Expectations level on SCREADY in the areas of ELA and Math by the year 2035. Districts and schools will set targets based on 3 year increments to close the gap between their current baseline and the 90% goal. District Five Schools of Spartanburg data show the gaps for the district as a whole at each grade level.

## **District Points of Pride**

The Director of Accountability, Research and STEM programs presented to the steering committee a detailed overview of district achievement data, district demographic data, and perceptional data. From the extensive discussion that followed, the steering committee detailed areas of pride in District Five Schools of Spartanburg County.

Points of Pride in the area of student achievement include:

- Ahead of state average performance in SCPASS Science and Social Studies in several grades
- Improvement in reading levels in lower grades (based on Fountas and Pinnell data)
- SC READY performance, particularly in the area of math
- The SC Profile of the Graduate is a model for our district
- EOC scores continue to trend upwards
- The number of students enrolled in AP classes continues to increase, along with their performance
- The number of students earning college credit while in high school continues to increase
- The graduation rate is above the state average annually, and several subgroups (especially ESOL) continue to close the gap in graduation rate
- Performance on the ACT continues to lead the Spartanburg area districts

Points of pride in the area of Teacher and Administrator Quality include:

- Teachers attend regularly and are generally happy
- The increasing number of teachers on continuing contract

Points of pride in the area of School Climate include:

- Teachers and parents are very happy with our district
- Teachers encourage children
- Schools have high expectations
- Parents, students and teachers feel safe at school

## **District Challenges**

After finding consensus on Points of Pride across the district, the steering committee pursued consensus for areas of need. For student achievement, the steering committee identified the following challenges: (1) SAT performance, (2) Graduation rate for male and special needs populations, (3) ACT Reading scores below the state average, (4)Performance of 7th grade students at both middle schools, (5) Students scoring less than a "Silver" rating on WorkKeys, (6) SC READY ELA scores for lower grade students, (7) Declining trend in SCPASS Science performance, (8) Percentage of students projected to meet the college readiness benchmark on the ACT based on MAP performance (data indicate that a percentile rank of approximately 70 is needed in most grades to be considered 'on track' to proficiencly – currently only 18-40% of D5 students are performing at that level), (9) students being served in GT programs are not performing to their potential, and (10) there are a large number of students earning grades of 'C' or better in core academic areas who are scoring less than "Meets" on the summative assessments. The strategic planning team also noted other concerns that may cross over between different areas above but nonetheless may need to be addressed as we look to improve:

- Difficulties with technology implementation (especially with testing),
- the amount of testing in general,

- Overall performance on summative assessments should be higher given the poverty rate is the lowest in the county,
- constant changes over the past several years (standards, curriculum, testing, etc.),
- a lack of formative assessment measures to continually assess mastery of standards and efficiency of instruction prior to the summative testing program.

In the area of teacher and administrator quality, it was found that (1) there is a lack of data available to measure teacher effectiveness, (2) there is a lack of reporting of teacher diversity, (3) while teacher attendance and the number of teachers with advanced degrees continue to be high (see above), there is a slight trend downward over the past few years.

In the area of school climate, the strategic planning team felt that (1) teachers do not always feel appreciated, (2) parents do not feel welcome in the classroom, (3) student perceptions of cleanliness are not good, (4) teacher morale is not very high, (5) parents sometimes feel like their voices are not heard, and (6) an overall lack of positive communication. It was also noted as a concern that the data analyzed comes from a survey instrument that is given once each year, to only the highest grade span in the school. In some schools, the response rate, particularly of parents, can be very low.

#### Conclusion

Spartanburg District Five School's strengths include our shared vision, the quality of people in the district and high expectations for all stakeholders. The district has a high level of involvement from a broad spectrum of stakeholders who value relationships and the District's communication efforts at every level. There is a strong commitment from the district five community that positively affects all aspects of our students' education.

Some of District Five's greatest challenges are outside our realm of control. For example, student population has grown by 43% since 2000 and continues to grow. In just the past three years, new housing developments have opened or began construction in each of the district's attendance zones. An expansion was recently completed at Lyman Elementary School, and in February of 2017, the Phase One Construction at Byrnes High School was completed, adding a number of new classrooms and other features. It is anticipated that with the new development in the district as well as the number of new

jobs coming to the area, we will continue to see tremendous growth over the next ten years. In fall of 2019, a new campus for Reidville Elementary School will open. In the past, the School Board of District Five had the fiscal autonomy to raise millage which helped finance the needs of a growing district. The district will continue to seek creative opportunities to grow and redevelop our schools moving forward.

Advances in the use of technology in District Five Schools of Spartanburg have made a strong impact in the classroom over the past three years. The district has implemented a 1:1 initiative, d5live, which is providing a platform to transform instruction in the district. As teachers join the model classroom program, they are invited to transform the art of teaching from a classroom where attention is focused on the teacher and his or her knowledge to a place where students are in charge of their learning. Teachers become experts in the field of their content area, and share knowledge with students on their levels as they need it. The effect of transforming the learning process will help teachers to meet the rigorous expectations of the Profile of the South Carolina Graduate – a framework for ensuring that students graduating from public schools in the state will be college and career ready.

Academic rigor has been identified as an area of focus for our district over the next five years. There are a number of indicators in our data that point to disparities between segments of our population. Our gifted and talented (GT) population is not performing at the level we expect to see on newly created state assessments. Students receiving extra support services to achieve at the level of their peers are

not closing the achievement gap as quickly as we might expect. The district has realized that differentiation of instruction at all levels – providing scaffolding to help students achieve BEYOND their own expectations, is the key to increasing rigor at all levels. Differentiation has been identified as an action step in three of five goal areas in this plan, and these action steps are being implemented at a rapid pace to improve the outcomes of our students.

Spartanburg District Five Schools is an outstanding district to work, live and play in. The community of support and care is enviable by many, as shown by the continued growth of the area. We are proud of our district, and wish to continue to improve so that Spartanburg District Five Schools are always about

'Every child...Every day'.

Performance Goal Area: (* required)	Student Achievement* □Teacher/Admin etc.)* □ District Priorities	istrator Quality*	☐ School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools,
PERFORMANCE GOAL: Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measurable pincrements, shall be developed to address needs assessment in key areas reported in SMART goal must include: WHO will do WHAT, as measured by the state of t	the major areas of discrepancy found in the the district and school report cards.		rcentage of students in grades 3-8 scoring on target (Meets or lege and career readiness on <b>SC Ready-ELA</b> will increase.

	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
DATA	44.9	Gr 3 Projected Data	47.9	50.9	53.9	56.9	60
SOURCE(s):		Gr 3 Actual Data	41	50.5			
SC READY	42.0	Gr 4 Projected Data	46.9	49.9	52.9	55.9	60
ELA		Gr 4 Actual Data	44	50.1			
Performance	42.1	Gr 5 Projected Data	46.0	50.9	53.9	56.9	60
		Gr 5 Actual Data	40	43.2			
	45.1	Gr 6 Projected Data	47.1	51.0	55.9	58.9	60
		Gr 6 Actual Data	41	47.2			
	38.6	Gr 7 Projected Data	46.1	48.1	52.0	56.9	60
		Gr 7 Actual Data	35	47			
	46.8	Gr 8 Projected Data	47.6	49.1	51.1	55.0	60
		Gr 8 Actual Data	34	42.2			

Performance Goal Area: (* required)	Student Achievement* □Teacher/Admietc.)* □ District Priorities	nistrator Quality*	☐ School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools,
PERFORMANCE GOAL: Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measurable increments, shall be developed to address needs assessment in key areas reported in SMART goal must include: WHO will do WHAT, as measured	the major areas of discrepancy found in the the district and school report cards.		rcentage of students in grades 3-8 scoring on target (Met or llege and career readiness on SC Ready-Math in 2017 will increase.

	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
DATA	59.1	Gr 3 Projected Data	61.2	63.3	65.4	67.5	70.0
SOURCE(s):		Gr 3 Actual Data	59	64.8			
SC READY 51.7	Gr 4 Projected Data	61.1	63.2	67.3	67.4	70.0	
Math		Gr 4 Actual Data	49	50.3			
Performance	46.7	Gr 5 Projected Data	55.7	63.1	67.2	69.3	70.0
		Gr 5 Actual Data	52	52.2			
	50.8	Gr 6 Projected Data	51.7	59.7	65.1	68.2	70.0
		Gr 6 Actual Data	58	60.8			
	36.8	Gr 7 Projected Data	51.8	56.7	63.7	67.1	70.0
		Gr 7 Actual Data	39	41.6			
	45.7	Gr 8 Projected Data	49.8	54.8	61.7	67.7	70.0
		Gr 8 Actual Data	43	47.1			

PERFORMANCE	GOAL:			By 2021, the percen	-		•
Per SBE Regulation increments, shall be	a 43-261, measurable a developed to address	performance goals, written s the major areas of discrepo ed in the district and school	ancy found in	Exemplary) for colle Standards -Science v	•	ness on Palmetto Ass	essment of Stat
SMART goal must i WHO will do		l by HOW and WHEN.					
NTERIM PERFO	RMANCE GOAL:	Meet annual targets below.					1
	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
DATA	71.8	Gr 4 Projected Data	72.9	74.0	75.1	76.2	77.3
SOURCE(s):		Gr 4 Actual Data	45.9	47.0			
SCPASS	69.5	Gr 6 Projected Data	70.5	71.5	72.7	73.8	74.9
Science		Gr 6 Actual Data	50.7	51.3			
Performance	70.4	Gr 8 Projected Data	71.5	72.6	73.7	74.8	75.9
		Gr 8 Actual Data	45.8	46.6			

ERFORMANCE Ger SBE Regulation 4		erformance goals, written in j	five-year	By 2021, the percenta Exemplary) for collego	-	_	
		the major areas of discrepand the district and school report		Standards-Social Stud	ies will increase.		
MART goal must in		_					
NTERIM PERFOR	RMANCE GOAL: 1	Meet annual targets below.					
	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
DATA SOURCE(s):	74.4	Gr 5 Projected Data	75.5	76.6	77.7	78.9	80.1
CCDACC		Gr 5 Actual Data	75.4	75.2			
SCPASS Social Studies	66.1	Gr 7 Projected Data	67.9	68.9	69.9	70.9	72.0
Performance		Gr 7 Actual Data	64.7	65.9			

Performance Goal Area: (* required)	Student Achievement* □Teacher/Admir etc.)* □ District Priorities	nistrator Quality*	☐ School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools,
PERFORMANCE GOAL: Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measurable increments, shall be developed to address needs assessment in key areas reported in SMART goal must include: WHO will do WHAT, as measured	s the major areas of discrepancy found in the n the district and school report cards.	higher on the M	ercentage of K5-grade 2 students scoring at the 70th percentile or IAP-Reading indicating college and career ready will increase. This seded by the iReady Diagnostic in 2018.
INTERIM PERFORMANCE GOAL:	Meet annual targets below	_	

#### TORNIANCE GOAL: Meet annual targets below.

	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
DATA	30.0	Gr K Projected Data	35.0	40.0	45.0	50.0	55.0
SOURCE(s):		Gr K Actual Data	34.8	39.6			
MAP	30.2	Gr 1 Projected Data	35.2	40.2	45.2	50.2	55.2
Reading		Gr 1 Actual Data	29.2	31.8			
Performance	35.1	Gr 2 Projected Data	40.1	45.1	50.1	55.1	60.1
		Gr 2 Actual Data	33.4	29.3			

Performance Goal Area:  (* required)	Student Achievement* □Teacher/Admir etc.)* □ District Priorities	nistrator Quality*	☐ School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools,
PERFORMANCE GOAL: Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measurable increments, shall be developed to address needs assessment in key areas reported in SMART goal must include: WHO will do WHAT, as measured	s the major areas of discrepancy found in the nation the district and school report cards.		rcentage of K5-grade 2 students scoring at the 70th percentile or AP-Math indicating college and career ready will increase.
INTEDIM DEDECOMANCE COAL.	Most appual torgets below	<u> </u>	

	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
DATA	36.9	Gr K Projected Data	41.9	46.9	51.9	56.1	61.9
SOURCE(s):		Gr K Actual Data	35.2	40.9			
MAP Math	31.5	Gr 1 Projected Data	36.5	41.5	46.5	51.5	56.5
Performance		Gr 1 Actual Data	36.2	34.6			
	20.0	Gr 2 Projected Data	25.0	30.0	35.0	40.0	45.0
		Gr 2 Actual Data	17.7	18.2			

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEG	EVALUATION				
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1. Assess student academic progress through formative and summative measures including *MAP *Fountas & Pinnell, *SC Ready, *SCPASS	2017-2022	District and school level administrators, teachers	\$80,000 for MAP		Assessment calendar, data reports, and data meetings  Continue
2.  Disaggregate assessment data to identify academic needs of all students.	2017-2022	District and school level administrators, teachers	\$0	N/A	Data reports, data meetings  Continue
3.  Provide professional learning for the analysis, interpretation and application of assessment data.	2017-2022	District and school level administrators, literacy coaches, math coaches	\$0	N/A	Data analysis meetings, data protocols  Continue

4. Change or continue instructional practices based on the analysis of the data.	District and school level administrators, literacy coaches, math coaches	\$0	Instructional observations, instructional goals  South Carolina 4.0 Evaluation Rubric  Technology Integration Matrix (TIM)
5.  Examine grading practices and the alignment to grade level mastery.	District and school level administrators, teachers	\$5000.00	Year 1 - Explore current practices/cause analysis  Year 2 - Develop district committee to research/visit other districts  Year 3 - Develop an implementation plan  Year 4 - Implement district-wide  Year 5 - Monitor and analyze implementation plan  Continue

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEG all students in all content areas.	EVALUATION				
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
Implement intentional, research- based instructional strategies.	2017-2022	District and school staff	\$0	N/A	South Carolina 4.0 Evaluation Rubric, lesson plans Continue
2.  Refine and implement benchmark assessments in order to monitor student progress, inform, and adjust instruction.	2017-2022	District and school staff	\$5000.00	General Fund	Assessment calendar, data reports  Continue
3.  Provide intentional and varied delivery models to facilitate instruction based on content and expected outcomes.	2017-2022	District and school staff	\$0	N/A	South Carolina 4.0 Evaluation Rubrics, Schoology Continue

4.	2017-2022	District and school	\$32,000.00	Grant	RTI record keeping, data/planning
Provide instructional support for students identified as needing intervention to accelerate learning and decrease their achievement gaps.		staff		Funding/SSIP	meetings, South Carolina 4.0 Evaluation Rubric  Continue
gaps.					
5. Provide a rigorous curriculum	2017-2022	District and school staff	\$0	N/A	Curriculum units, lesson plans,
through the creative use of content that challenges students to think					South Carolina 4.0 Evaluation Rubric
critically and solve problems.					Continue
6.  Provide intentional and varied use of		District and school staff, model classroom teachers,	\$100,000.00	General Fund	South Carolina 4.0 Evaluation Rubric,
technology to support, enhance, and increase student learning.		technology coaches			Teacher self-assessment/TIM
					Continue
7.  Develop and implement a literacy rich district-wide 3k and 4k	2017-2022	District and school staff	\$10,000.00	General Fund	Year 1 - Explore current curriculum and develop district-wide curriculum units
curriculum.					Year 2 - Implement district-wide curriculum units

8.	2017-2020	SSIP Implementation	\$270,000 (pending	MFS Tier III	Data from implementation of
Utilize the SSIP Implementation		Coach	approval)	Funding	District SSIP plan
Coach to research and implement a uniform data-based problem solving model. that supports students through tiered instruction and intervention.					Continue
9. Implement a comprehensive progress monitoring system to collect data.		District and school staff	\$68,000 (pending approval)	Coordinated Early Intervening	Enrich RTI, Data reports from Enrich RTI Data meetings Continue
10. Implement instructional programming for tiered instruction and intervention with universal screenings.		District and school staff	\$248,187 (pending approval)	Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CEIS)	iReady Reading and Math  Dreambox (DISCONTINUED)  TEACHTOWN  Continue

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEG rigorous curriculum with differen	EVALUATION				
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
Analyze results from district-wide needs assessment to develop personalized learning and training cohorts.	2017-2022	Director of Professional Development and Teacher Evaluation	\$0	N/A	District Needs Assessment Survey  Continue
Provide professional development through a cohort model designed to meet individual teacher and staff needs.	2017-2022	District and school level staff	\$20,000	General fund, Title 2, R2S	Cohort roster, Professional Development calendar, Schoology Continue
Provide professional development to paraprofessionals in areas that will help them assist students in their learning, such as Read to Succeed and Co-Teaching.	2017-2022	District and school level staff	\$0		Sign-in sheets, instructional materials  Continue

4	2017-2022	District and school	\$36,414	MFS Tier III CEIS	Sign-in sheets, instructional
Provide additional professional development for teacher effectiveness that ensures all students have sufficient		staff, consultants	(pending approval)		materials, Professional development calendar  Continue
opportunities to develop learning, thinking, and life skills that lead to success at the next level, such as			\$58,500 (pending approval)	MFS Tier I CEIS	
*the implementation of the SC College and Career Readiness Standards					
*the SC Teaching Standards Rubric					
*Read to Succeed *Inclusive co- teaching practices					
*Blended learning *Curriculum writing					
*STEAM					
*TIM					
*Universal Design for Learning.					
5	2017-2022	Director of	\$25,000	General Fund	Documentation system
Create and monitor a documentation system that ensures all educators participate in a continuous program of learning.		Professional Development and Teacher Evaluation, District Technology team			Continue

Schedule collaborative planning opportunities for all content areas and departments at the district and school level.	staff	\$0	Master schedules, grade-level meetings and agendas, District professional development calendar Continue
Evaluate the impact of district professional development initiatives.	District and school staff	\$0	Cohort participant session evaluations, conferencing for SLO/analysis of Student Learning Objective outcomes, SC 4.0 Evaluation Rubric  Continue
Utilize the SSIP Implementation Coach to research and implement a uniform data-based problem solving model. that supports students through tiered instruction and intervention.	SSIP Implementation Coach	\$270,000 (pending approval)	Data from implementation of District SSIP plan  Continue

9	2017-2022	District and school	\$68,000 (pending	MFS Tier 1	Enrich RTI, Data reports from Enrich
Implement a comprehensive		staff	approval)	Coordinated Early	RTI
progress monitoring system to				Intervening	
collect data.				Services (CEIS)	Data meetings
				Funding	Continue
10	2017-2022	District and school	\$248,187	MFS Tier I	iReady Reading and math
Implement instructional programming for tiered instruction and intervention with universal screenings.		staff		Intervening Services (CEIS)	Dreambox (DISCONTINUED) TEACHTOWN Continue

Perfo	ormance Goal A	ш	Student Achievement* District Priority	Teacher/Adminis	strator Quality* Scho	ol Climate (Parent Inv	olvement, Safe and He	althy Schools, etc.)*
Per SI incren	nents, shall be deve	61, measurable per loped to address th	formance goals, written i e major areas of discrepa e district and school repo	ncy found in the	By 2021, the percent years of entering nine	•	-	choolwithin4
	RT goal must includ WHO will do WHA		HOW and WHEN.					
INTE	RIM PERFORMA	ANCE GOAL: M	eet annual targets below.		1			
		AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
	ATA SOURCE(s): aduation Rate		Projected Data	86.2%	87.2	88.2	89.2	90.2
	s taken from SC	85.2%						
(as	s taken from SC eport Card)	85.2%	Actual Data	87.4%	86.9			

# ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY #1: Use a variety of programs to increase student engagement and prepare students to be college and career ready.

## **EVALUATION**

ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1. Conduct needs assessment to identify factors which impact atrisk students.	2017-2019	school administration and guidance counselors	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (truancy plans and administrator records/guidance records)  Continue
2. Analyze data from needs assessment for future action steps.	2018-2022	school administration	\$0	N/A	administrator records  Continue
3. Strengthen on-going programs which support at-risk students including Adolescent Family Lifestyle classes (and childcare), mentoring, and advisor/advisee.	2017-2022	school administration	only if additional programs are added		IN PROGRESS (student signin logs, advisor/advisee curriculum)  Continue
4. Incorporate both face-to-face and virtual opportunities for students to each additional credits and credit recovery during the school year and during the summer months.	2017-2022	school administration	\$15,000/YR	General Fund	IN PROGRESS (summer school reports, APEX online reports) Continue

## ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY #1: Use a variety of programs to increase student engagement and prepare students to be college and career ready.

**EVALUATION** 

ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
5. Introduce in-school opportunities for remediation, acceleration, and building student community.	2017-2018	school administration	\$0	N/A	COMPLETED (bell schedule)
6. Monitor student attendance and provide opportunities for recapture.	2017-2022	school administration	\$5,000/YR	General Fund	IN PROGRESS (attendance reports)
7. Utilize Individual Graduation Plan meetings which allow students to take ownership for future career and employment goals.	2017-2022	guidance counselors	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (IGP logs, PowerSchool IGP data)
8. Offer a variety of courses through R D Anderson Applied Technology Center which provide students career-focused opportunities.	2017-2022	guidance counselors	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (R D A school tours, master schedule, enrollment data)

#### ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY #1: Use a variety of programs to increase student **EVALUATION** engagement and prepare students to be college and career ready. **ESTIMATED ACTIVITY** TIMELINE **PERSON FUNDING** INDICATORS OF RESPONSIBLE **COST** SOURCE **IMPLEMENTATION** (Start and End Dates) 9. Evaluate effectiveness of 2018-2019 \$0 N/A PROGRESS: school IN fail POWER HOUR using multiple administration reports, club attendance lo measures--surveys, stakeholder teacher logs committee, and student data. 2017-2019 IN PROGRESS (truancy pl 10. Conduct needs assessment school \$0 N/A to identify factors which impact administration and administra records/guidance records) at-risk students. guidance and counselors

Performance Goal Area: (* required)	Student Achievement* ☐ Teacher/Administr☐ District Priority	strator Quality*
increments, shall be developed to addr	ole performance goals, written in five-year ress the major areas of discrepancy found in the d in the district and school report cards. red by HOW and WHEN.	By 2021, the percentage of students who <u>scoreat/orgreaterthanthe</u> <u>collegeandcareerreadinessbenchmark(ELA-18/math-22)ontheACT</u> <u>state-mandatedtesting</u> will increase. ( <i>Please note: ACT was state-mandated in 2016-2017 but choice was introduced in 2017-2018.</i> )

	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
DATA SOURCE(s): ACT score	43.2% and 28.1%	Projected Data	44.5% and 28.5%	45% and 29%	46% and 29%	46.5% and 29.5%	47.1% and 30%
reports (state Junior score)		Actual Data	43.4% and 24.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<u>—</u>	udent Achievement* Teacher/Administr strict Priority	ator Quality*	School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools, etc.)*
PERFORMANCE GOAL:  Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measurable performance, shall be developed to address the needs assessment in key areas reported in the SMART goal must include:  WHO will do WHAT, as measured by H	major areas of discrepancy found in the district and school report cards.	scholarshipe state-manda	e percentage of students who scoreat/orgreaterthanLIFE eligibilityscore(24)ontheACTcompositescoreoutsideof the tedtesting will increase. (Please note: ACT was state-in 2016-2017 but choice was introduced in 2017-2018.)
INTERIM PERFORMANCE GOAL: Mee	et annual targets below.	,	

	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
DATA SOURCE(s): ACT score	41.7%	Projected Data	43.7%	45.7%	48.7%	52.7%	56.7%
reports (Senior co-hort)	71.770	Actual Data	37.2%	35.6% (191/537 scored 20 or higher)			

	ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY #1: Implement a series of programs offered to students to improve both ACT and SAT performance.					
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION	
1. Facilitate both SAT/ACT math preparation classes and SAT/ACT English preparation classes to be offered for students in grades 10-12.	2017-2022	school administration	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (master schedule)	
2. Offer a variety of ACT and SAT test preparation workshops for students before, during, and after the school day—including test prep BLITZ WEEK for all students.	2017-2022	school administration	\$10,000.00/year	school	IN PROGRESS (flyers for test prep workshops, sign-in sheets for test prep workshops, workshop evaluations)	
3. Increase the number of students participating in test preparation workshops.	2017-2018	school administration and guidance counselors	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (sign-in sheets for test prep workshops, workshop evaluations)	
4. Create student information sessions for students to engage in conversations re: progress on ACT and/or SAT.	2017-2019	school administration and guidance counselors	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (sign-in sheets for guidance counselors)	

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY #1: Implement a series of programs offered to students to improve both ACT and SAT performance.					EVALUATION
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
5. Encourage students to participate in individual remediation prior to re-testing, using online test preparation software.	2017-2022	school administration and guidance counselors	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (sign-in sheets for guidance counselors)

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEG understanding of test co	EVALUATION				
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1. Evaluate assessment data (including PSAT results) to identify additional action steps.	2017-2019	school administration and guidance counselors	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (data reports from guidance counselors)
2. Generate additional action steps based on assessment data.	2018-2022	school administration and guidance counselors	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (test registration reports)
3. Include ACT test preparation lessons and strategies for ALL students into existing advisor/advisee curriculum.	2017-2019	advisor/advisee leadership committee	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (REBS curriculum)
4. Schedule professional development for teachers and guidance counselors which will allow participants a change to learn more about ACT/SAT test content and structure.	2018-2022	school administration	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS development schedule)

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEG understanding of test co	EVALUATION				
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
5. Use data to increase the number of students who enroll in Algebra 2 Honors and Honors-level English classes.	2018-2022	Director of Research, Accountability, and STEM programs, guidance counselors	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS master schedule, PowerSchool enrollment data, MAP scores
6. Incorporate ACT test preparation into ESOL and Study Skills curriculum.	2017-2019	school administration	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (ESOL curriculum)
7. Identify available test preparation opportunities in the school-wide program for all students.	2017-2019	school administration	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (master schedule)
8. Inform teacher of opportunities for test preparation using a variety of resources—including Khan Academy and Learning Express library.	2017-2022	school administration	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (professional schedule)

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY #2: Provide opportunities for faculty and staff to increase understanding of test content and structure.					EVALUATION
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
9. Use a variety of instructional strategies to meet the needs of all students to build both World Class Knowledge and World Class Skills as outlined in the Profile of the SC Graduate.	2017-2022	school administration/classroom teachers	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (lesson plans and completed observation formsRubric 4.0)
10. Provide professional development which supports teachers as they plan for instruction.	2017-2022	district and school administration	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (completed observations, post-conference observation notes, professional development schedule, peer observations)

PERFORMANCE GOAL:  Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measurable performance goals, written in five-year increments, shall be developed to address the major areas of discrepancy found in the needs assessment in key areas reported in the district and school report cards.  SMART goal must include:  WHO will do WHAT, as measured by HOW and WHEN.  By 2021, the percentage of students who scoreat/orgreaterthantheLIFE scholarshipeligibilityscore(1100)ontheSATcompositescore will increase. (Please note: ACT was state-mandated in 2016-2017 but choice was introduced in 2017-2018.)	Performance Goal Area: (* required)	Student Achievement* ☐ Teacher/Administr☐ District Priority	strator Quality* School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools, etc.)
<u>'</u>	Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measural increments, shall be developed to addineeds assessment in key areas reported SMART goal must include:	ress the major areas of discrepancy found in the d in the district and school report cards.	scholarshipeligibilityscore(1100)ontheSATcompositescore will increase. (Please note: ACT was state-mandated in 2016-2017 but choice was

	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
DATA SOURCE(s): SAT score	50%	Projected Data	52%	54%	57%	61%	65%
reports (Senior co-hort)	3070	Actual Data	T3/0	26.4% (142/537 scored 1020 or higher)			

Performance Goal Area:  (* required)	Student Achievement* ☐Teacher/Administr☐District Priority	trator Quality* School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools, etc.)*
increments, shall be developed to addr	ble performance goals, written in five-year ress the major areas of discrepancy found in the d in the district and school report cards. red by HOW and WHEN.	By 2021, the percentage of students who score <u>Silverleveloraboveon</u> <u>state-mandatedWorkKeys</u> testing will increase. ( <i>Please note: WorkKeys was state-mandated in 2016-2017 but WIN was introduced in 2017-2018.</i> )

	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	2020-2011
DATA SOURCE(s): percentage of	80.6%	Projected Data	81.1% (WorkKeys)	82.2 (WIN)	83.3 (WIN)	84.4 (WIN)	85.5 (WIN)
students earning NCRC on WorkKeys score reports and National Career Readiness Certificates (NCRC)/WIN score reports	(WorkKeys)	Actual Data	81.7% (WorkKeys)	84.9% (468 out of 551) received NCRC on WIN assessment			

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY #1: **Implement a series of programs offered to students to improve WorkKeys performance.** (Please note: WorkKeys was state-mandated in 2016-2017 but WIN was introduced in 2017-2018.)

ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1. Publicize opportunities for test preparation software, including the use of KeyTrain.	2017-2018	school administration	\$0	N/A	COMPLETED (usage reports from KeyTrain)
2. Implement WorkKeys test preparation lessons and strategies for ALL students into REBS 3 curriculum.	2017-2018	school administration and REBS Leadership Committee	\$0	N/A	COMPLETED (REBS 3 curriculum outline)
3. Offer a variety of WorkKeys test preparation workshops for students before, during, and after the school day—including test prep BLITZ WEEK for all students	2017-2018	school administration	\$10,000/year	School	COMPLETED (flyers for test prep workshops, sign-in sheets for test prep workshops, workshop evaluations completed by participants)
4. Incorporate WorkKeys test preparation into ESOL and Study Skills course curriculum	2017-2018	school administration	\$0	N/A	COMPLETED (course syllabi)

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY #1: Implement a series of programs offered to students to				
improve WorkKeys performance.	(Please note: WorkKeys was state-mandated in	2016-		
2017 but WIN was introduced in 201	7-2018.)			

ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
5. Identify available test preparation opportunities in the school-wide program for all students (examples include Driver's Ed test prep for students during Study Hall hours, post EOC testing, post AP testing, etc.).		school administration	\$0	N/A	COMPLETED (course syllabi)

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY #2: Provide opportunities for faculty and staff to increase understanding of WorkKeys test content and structure. (Please note: WorkKeys was state-mandated in 2016-2017 but WIN was introduced in 2017-2018.)

ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1. Conduct curriculum gapanalysis to identify which state content standards are aligned to WorkKeys.	2017-2018	Director of Research, Accountability and STEM Programs	\$0	N/A	COMPLETED (gap analysis)
2. Implement further action steps based on gap analysis.	2017-2018	school administration	\$0	N/A	COMPLETED (meeting minutes)
3. Schedule professional development for teachers and guidance counselors which will allow participants a chance to learn more about WorkKeys test content structure.		school administration	\$0	N/A	COMPLETED (professional development schedule)
4. Inform teachers of opportunities for test preparation using a variety of resources.	2017-2018	school administration	\$0	N/A	COMPLETED (professional development schedule)

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY #2: Provide opportunities for faculty and staff to increase understanding of WorkKeys test content and structure. (Please note: WorkKeys was state-mandated in 2016-2017 but WIN was introduced in 2017-2018.)

ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
5. Take faculty members to yearly High Schools That Work Summer Conference to gather ideas related to WorkKeys.	2017-2018	Director of Research, Accountability and STEM Programs and school administration	\$5,000/year	HSTW funds	COMPLETED (HSTW Conference notes, department meeting minutes)
6. Support district-level training by sending school-level CDFs to sessions led by district.	2017-2018	CDFs	\$0	N/A	COMPLETED (meeting agendas)

Performance Goal Area: (* required)	Student Achievement* ☐Teacher/Administr☐District Priority	ator Quality*	School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools, etc.)*
increments, shall be developed to addr	ole performance goals, written in five-year cess the major areas of discrepancy found in the d in the district and school report cards.		ne average student score on the <u>SCEndofCourseexam(s)in</u> ntermediateAlgebra,Biology1,English1,andUSHistory will
SMART goal must include: WHO will do WHAT, as measur	red by HOW and WHEN.		

	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	2020-2011
DATA SOURCE(s): EOC score reports (as taken from SC Report Card)  Report Card)  Interm Alg: 79.4 Bio: 85.4 Engl 1: N/A US History: 80.5	Projected Data	Interm Alg: 79.5 Bio: 85.5 Engl 1: N/A US History: 80.6	Interm Alg: 79.6 Bio: 85.6 Engl 1: N/A US History: 80.7	Interm Alg: 79.7 Bio: 85.7 Engl 1: N/A US History: 80.8	Interm Alg: 79.8 Bio: 85.8 Engl 1: N/A US History: 80.9	Interm Alg: 79.9 Bio: 85.9 Engl 1: N/A US History: 81	
	00.3	Actual Data	Interm Alg: 64.4 Bio: 79.9 Engl 1: N/A US History: 74.2	Interm Alg: 61.4 Bio: 72.1 Engl 1: N/A US History: 77.5	Interm Alg: Bio: Engl 1: N/A US History:	Interm Alg: Bio: Engl 1: N/A US History:	Interm Alg: Bio: Engl 1: N/A US History:

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY # improve EOC performanc	EVALUATION				
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1. Offer a variety of test preparation workshops for students to be held before, during and after the school day.	2017-2022	school administration/ department chairpersons	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (flyers for test prep workshops, sign-in sheets for test prep workshops, workshop evaluations completed by participants)
2. Provide students with access to online test preparation software—including increasing the use of technology in classrooms as an essential tool for learning.	2017-2022	school administration	\$2,000/year	General Fund	IN PROGRESS (test prep software usage reports)
3. Publicize opportunities for online test preparation software.	2017-2022	school administration	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (test prep software usage reports)

# ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY #2: Provide opportunities for faculty to increase understanding of EOC test content and structure.

ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1. Provide varied delivery models to facilitate instruction based on content standards and expected outcomes.	2017-2022	school administration	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (observation schedule and completed observation forms)
2. Use of variety of instructional strategies to meet the needs of all students to build both World Class Knowledge and World Class Skills as outlined in the Profile of the SC Graduate.	2017-2022	school administration/classroom teachers	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (lesson plans and completed observation formsRubric 4.0)
3. Provide professional development which supports teachers as they plan for instruction.	2017-2022	District and school administration	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (completed observations, post-observation conference notes, professional development schedule, peer observation)

#### **EVALUATION** ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY #2: Provide opportunities for faculty to increase understanding of EOC test content and structure. **ACTIVITY** PERSON RESPONSIBLE **FUNDING** TIMELINE **ESTIMATED** INDICATORS OF (Start and End **COST** SOURCE **IMPLEMENTATION** Dates) **PROGRESS** 4. Administer standardized 2017-2022 school administration \$30,000/year school funds IN benchmark assessments in (MasteryConnect score all EOC classes. reports) \$0 N/A IN **PROGRESS** 5. Use standardized 2017-2022 school administration benchmark assessment data (MasteryConnect and department score guide classroom chairpersons reports, lesson plans) instruction. Facilitate 2017-2022 administration \$0 N/A IN PROGRESS co-taught school (master

**ESOL** 

schedule)

and

teacher/Special

**Education teachers** 

classes to include teaching

practices for all special

students

education

including ESOL.

Performance Goal Area: Student Achievement* Teacher/Administrator Quality* School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools, etc.)*  [District Priority]							
PERFORMANCE GOAL:  Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measurable performance goals, written in five-year increments, shall be developed to address the major areas of discrepancy found in the needs assessment in key areas reported in the district and school report cards.				By 2021, the percentage of students ingrades9-12 enrollinginanAP course will increase.			
SMART goal must incl WHO will do WH		y HOW and WHEN.					
INTERIM PERFORMANCE GOAL: Meet annual targets below.							
	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	2020-2011
DATA SOURCE(s): enrolled in AP	38.2%	Projected Data	38.4%	38.6%	38.8%	39%	39.2%

38.9%

34.4%

**Actual Data** 

program (as taken from SC Report Card)

<u> </u>	Student Achievement* Teacher/Administra District Priority	rator Quality*		
Par SRF Regulation 13 261 magsurable performance goals written in five year		By 2021, the <u>percentageofstudentsscoring3,4,or5onanAPExam</u> will increase.		
WHO will do WHAT, as measured b	by HOW and WHEN.			

	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	2020-2011
DATA SOURCE(s): successful in	70.3%	Projected Data	70.8%	71.3%	71.8%	72.3%	72.8%
AP program (as taken from SC Report Card)		Actual Data	74.7%	73.6%			

Performance Goal Area: (* required)		rator Quality*	School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools, etc.)*
increments, shall be developed to add	able performance goals, written in five-year dress the major areas of discrepancy found in the ted in the district and school report cards.		numberofstudentsingrades9-12enrollinginadual ourse will increase.
SMART goal must include: WHO will do WHAT, as meas	ured by HOW and WHEN.		

	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	2020-2011
DATA SOURCE(s): number of	190	Projected Data	200	210	220	230	240
students enrolled in dual enrollment courses (as taken from SC Report Card)		Actual Data	208	190			

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATE variety of programs and	EVALUATION				
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1. Hold parent information sessions to allow students and parents a chance to learn more and ask questions about AP and dual enrollment opportunities.	2017-2022	school administration	\$0	N/A	IN (invitations, session program(s))  PROGRESS information presentations,
2. Promote AP and dual enrollment courses during Individualized Graduation Plan (IGP) conferences with guidance counselors.	2017-2022	guidance counselors	\$0	N/A	IN (invitations, session program(s))  PROGRESS information presentations,
3. Collaborate with a variety of local partners (Spartanburg Community College, USC Upstate, etc.) to provide students with multiple options for enrolling in dual enrollment courses.	2017-2022	school administration	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (master schedule)

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEG	EVALUATION				
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
4. Support unique full-time programs for students including Scholars Academy, Early College, and ACCELERATE Engineering Program.	2017-2022	school administration and guidance counselors	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (PowerSchool enrollment data)
5. Prepare students for AP and dual enrollment courses by providing a rigorous honors level curriculum in grades K-12.	2017-2022	school administration	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (lesson plans and completed observations)
6. Use a variety of instructional strategies to meet the needs of students to build both World Class Knowledge and World Class Skills as outlined in the Profile of the SC Graduate.	2017-2022	school administration/classroom teachers	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (lesson plans and completed observation formsRubric 4.0)

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATE variety of programs and	EVALUATION				
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
7. Provide professional development which supports teachers as they plan for instruction.	2017-2022	district and school administration	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (completed observations, post-observation conference notes, professional development schedule, peer observations)
8. Partner with local business and community college to implement career training during school day.	2017-2018	CDF(s) with school administration	college tuition/instruction paid for by scholarships (\$1,000/student)	local business	IN PROGRESS—SPRING 2018 (class rosters, assessment results)

Performance Goal Area:  (* required)	Student Achievement* ☐Teacher/Administr☐District Priority	tor Quality* Sch	nool Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools, etc.)*
increments, shall be developed to addr	ble performance goals, written in five-year ress the major areas of discrepancy found in the d in the district and school report cards. red by HOW and WHEN.	-	perofstudentscompletingawork-basedexperience prapprenticeship) will increase.

	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	2020-2011
DATA SOURCE(s): students	26.5	Projected Data	31.5	36.5	41.5	46.5	51.5
participating in work-based experiences (as taken from SC Report Card)		Actual Data	24.4	25			

### **EVALUATION** ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY #1: Collaborate with a variety of local partners to provide students with multiple options for work-based learning experiences. **ACTIVITY** TIMELINE PERSON **ESTIMATED FUNDING** INDICATORS OF RESPONSIBLE COST (Start and End SOURCE **IMPLEMENTATION** Dates) 1. Establish a position and \$75,000/year (CDF 2017-2018 school COMPLETED grant assign responsibility for administration position(s)) coordinating career-focused activities. N/A 2. Address the need for 2017-2022 CATE \$0 **PROGRESS** (CATE District business partners to offer council. CDF(s) meeting minutes) career-focused experiences. with school administration N/A 3. Develop school-based plan 2017-2022 CDF(s) with \$0 IN PROGRESS (meeting to increase opportunities for school minutes) work-based experiences. administration 4. Increase the number of 2017-2022 CDF(s) \$0 IN PROGRESS (work-based N/A students participating in worklogs, evaluations) based experiences. Vocational 2017-2022 CDF(s) IN PROGRESS (classroom 5. Use \$0 N/A Rehabilitation Bryant Center visit schedule for Voc Rehab)

and Job Training Center as a

resource.

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY # students with multiple opti	EVALUATION					
ACTIVITY  TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)  PERSON RESPONSIBLE COST FUNDING SOURCE					INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION	
6. Promote career-focused preparation opportunities at R D Anderson Applied Technology Center.		CDF(s)	\$0	N/A	IN PROGRESS (meeting minutes)	

	Performance Goal Area:  Student Achievement*  Teacher/Administrator Quality*  School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools, etc.)*  District Priority							
(* required)	requirea)							
increments, shall be	a 43-261, measurable <sub>l</sub> e developed to address	performance goals, writt the major areas of discr the district and school r	repancy found in the	By 2021, The number of unfilled teacher and/or administrator positions at the start of each fiscal year will decrease.				
SMART goal must : WHO will do	include: WHAT, as measured	by HOW and WHEN.						
INTERIM PERFO	DRMANCE GOAL:	Meet annual targets belo	ow.					
	AVERAGE BASELINE		2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	
DATA SOURCE(s): School	1.4%	Projected Data	1.4%	1.2%	1.0%	0.8%	0.6%	
Report Card		Actual Data	1.4%	1.3%				

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEO Spartanburg County	EVALUATION				
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1 Provide funding for travel for district administrators to attend college and career fairs	July 2017/May 2022	Superintendent, Personnel Director	\$1,000.00	General Fund	Travel Request Forms, Record of Contact Made  CONTINUE
2 Participate in the Spartanburg County recruitment day	July 2017/May 2022	Personnel Director PR Director Teacher Forum	\$500.00	General Fund	Number of Registrants  Social Media Announcements  CONTINUE
3 Maintain and update the "employment opportunities" page on the Website	July 2017/May 2022	Personnel Director PR Director	\$0	n/a	Web Logs CONTINUE
4 Create a Spartanburg 5 recruitment video and post on website	July 2017/May 2022	PR Director Personnel Director	\$2,500	General Fund	Video, Web Logs
5 Pursue diversity in recruiting and hiring.	July 2017/May 2022	Building Administrators	\$0	n/a	HR Records  CONTINUE

Performance Goal Area: (* required)	Student Achievement* District Priority	XTeacher/Administra	ator Quality*	School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools, etc.)*
PERFORMANCE GOAL: Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measurabl increments, shall be developed to addreneeds assessment in key areas reported	ess the major areas of discre	n in five-year pancy found in the	By 2021, the per increase.	reentage of teachers returning to District Five each year will

SMART goal must include: WHO will do WHAT, as measured by HOW and WHEN.

						<del>_</del>		
	AVERAGE BASELINE		2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	
DATA SOURCE(s):	91.2%	Projected Data	91.7	92.2	92.7	93.2	93.7	
School Report Card		Actual Data	90.4	90.9				

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATE teaching practices.	EVALUATION				
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1 Provide competitive salaries.	2017-2022	Superintendent School Board	Based on State Requirements	General/State Funds	District salary scale  CONTINUE
2 Provide resources to support effective performance.	2017-2022	District Administrators, Building Administrators	Based on State Allocations	General, State Funds	Inventory, purchase orders  CONTINUE
3 Promote professional learning communities.	2017-2022	District Administrators, Building Administrators Instructional Coaches		General Fund	sign-in sheets registrations Surveys CONTINUE
4 Provide differentiated staff development opportunities.	2017-2022	District Administrators, Building Administrators Instructional Coaches	\$15,000/yr. (See cohorts below)	General Fund	sign-in sheets registrations Surveys CONTINUE

5 Provide content-based	2017-2022	District	\$25,000/yr.	General Fund	sign-in sheets
instructional support to teachers.		Administrators,			
		Building			registrations
		Administrators			Surveys
		Instructional Coaches			CONTINUE
6 Provide district-wide recognition	2017-2022	District	\$2,000/yr.	General Fund	copies of D5 news
for teachers' service for every five		Administrators,			
continuous years in District 5(*also		Building			board agendas
included in School Climate).		Administrators			faculty meeting agendas
					CONTINUE

Performance G (* required)	oal Area: [	Student Achievement District Priority	* XTeacher/Admini	strator Quality*	School Climate (Parei	nt Involvement, Safe an	nd Healthy Schools, etc.)*
PERFORMANCE Per SBE Regulation increments, shall be	n 43-261, measurable p e developed to address	performance goals, writh the major areas of disc the district and school	crepancy found in the	By 2021, The percentage of teachers with a yearly average score of proficient or higher on the SC Teaching Standards 4.0 Rubric will remain at a minimum of 95%.			
SMART goal must WHO will do		by HOW and WHEN.					
INTERIM PERFO	DRMANCE GOAL:	Meet annual targets be	low.	-			
	AVERAGE		2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
	BASELINE		050/	050/	05%	050/	050/
DATA SOURCE(s): SCTS 4.0	100%	Projected Data	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%
Rubric		Actual Data	100%	67.6%			

Performance Goal Area:	Student Achievement* District Priority	X Teacher/Administrator Quality*	School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools, etc.)*
(* required)	,		

## **PERFORMANCE GOAL:**

Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measurable performance goals, written in five-year increments, shall be developed to address the major areas of discrepancy found in the needs assessment in key areas reported in the district and school report cards.

By 2021, The percentage of teachers scoring proficient or higher on the SLO Growth Measures will remain at a minimum of 95%.

SMART goal must include:

WHO will do WHAT, as measured by HOW and WHEN.

	AVERAGE BASELINE		2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
DATA SOURCE(s): SCTS 4.0	100%	Projected Data	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%
Rubric		Actual Data	100%	96.2%			

Performance	Goal Area:	Student Achieveme District Priority	ent* X Teacher/Admin	istrator Quality*	School Climate (Pa	arent Involvement, Safe	e and Healthy Schools, e
(* required)							
increments, shall	on 43-261, measurable	ss the major areas of d	liscrepancy found in the				t or higher on the climal I remain at a minimun
SMART goal mus WHO will o	st include: do WHAT, as measure	d by HOW and WHEN	N.				
INTERIM PERI	FORMANCE GOAL:	Meet annual targets	below.				
	AVERAGE BASELINE		2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
DATA SOURCE(s):	100%	Projected Data	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEG prepared staff.	ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY #1: Evaluate teachers and administrators to maintain highly qualified and well prepared staff.					
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION	
1 Train administrators and teachers on implementation of the SC Teaching Standards 4.0 Rubric	2017-2019	State Department, Director of Teacher Evaluation	\$0	n/a	sign-in from training session, evidence of completion, Certification of Evaluators CONTINUE	
2 Provide opportunities for teachers and administrators to build capacity in classroom instructional practices, for example: D5 Explore, Read to Succeed, Model Classrooms	2017-2022	PD Director, D5 Explore Facilitators, Building Administrators Instructional Coaches	\$15,000/yr.	General Fund	sign-in from D5 Explore Registration records Certificates of completion CONTINUE	
3 Provide PD opportunities that increase teacher use of current data to provide opportunities for differentiation.  *The implementation of this action step is found in the student achievement section(s).	2017-2022	PD Director D5 Explore Facilitators, Building Administrators Instructional Coaches	\$1000/yr.	General Fund	evidence of differentiation, lesson plans, sign-in sheets from PD sessions, PD descriptions/syllabus	
4 Administrators will be offered the opportunity to attend the SCASA Summer Leadership.	2017-2022	Principals	\$20,000/yr.	General Fund	SCASA registration  CONTINUE	
5 Principals will participate in PADEPP Evaluation and be provided feedback.	2017-2022	Superintendent and principals	\$0	N/A	PADEPP evaluation feedback form CONTINUE	
6 Provide a leadership cohort for Assistant Principals.	2017-2022	Assistant Superintendent for Operations and Assistant Principals	\$2500	General Fund	Agenda Attendance log CONTINUE	

7 Provide quarterly PD to Principals in	2017-2022	Principals and District	0	N/A	Agenda
Curriculum and Instruction as well as		Staff			CONTINUE
Operations (e.g. Data Dives, Evaluation					CONTINUE

Performance Go (* required)	oal Area:	Student Achievement* District Priority	Teacher/Administration	trator Quality* X S	school Climate (Parent	Involvement, Safe and	l Healthy Schools, etc.)*	
increments, shall be	43-261, measurable p developed to address	performance goals, writt the major areas of discr the district and school r	epancy found in the	By 2021, The percentage of parents who have a positive view of home-school relations will increase.				
SMART goal must i WHO will do		by HOW and WHEN.						
INTERIM PERFO	RMANCE GOAL:	Meet annual targets belo	OW.					
	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	
DATA SOURCE(s):	73.1%	Projected Data	74.5%	75.9%	77.3%	78.7%	80%	
School Report Card Survey Item #16		Actual Data	77%	74.4%				

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY relations.	EVALUATION				
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1 The District will utilize a variety of	2017-2022	Director of Public	\$0	n/a	Posts on Facebook, Twitter,
social media platforms such as		Relations			Instagram, Blackboard App, etc. (as
Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and					applicable)
the D5 Blackboard App.					CONTINUE
2 The District will provide access to	2017-2022	PowerSchool	\$0	n/a	Parent Portal and Schoology parent
the PowerSchool Parent Portal and		Administrator			letter distribution
Schoology.					CONTINUE
3 The District will provide	2017-2022	Director of Public	\$0	n/a	D5 News, Blackboard Messenger,
opportunities for parents to receive		Relations and			Registration infosnap, Report to the
district communications such as D5		PowerSchool			People
Newsletter, D5 Live, BlackBoard Messenger, and Command HQ.		Administrator			CONTINUE

Performance Goal Area:	Student Achievement* District Priority	Teacher/Administrator Quality*	X School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools, etc.)*
(* required)	·		

## **PERFORMANCE GOAL:**

Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measurable performance goals, written in five-year increments, shall be developed to address the major areas of discrepancy found in the needs assessment in key areas reported in the district and school report cards. By 2021, The percentage of faculty and staff who have received a minimum three hours of professional development in Compassionate Schools will increase.

SMART goal must include: WHO will do WHAT, as measured by HOW and WHEN.

	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	
DATA SOURCE(s): Compassiona	20%	Projected Data	42%	65%	70%	73%	75%	
te Schools Data Report		Actual Data	28%	59%				

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEG complete training opportunities.	Y #2: Increase the	opportunities for sta	ff to participate and	successfully	EVALUATION
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1 The district will provide three-day training for school and district leaders.	2017-2022	Assistant Superintendent	\$15,000.00	General Fund	Attendance Logs  CONTINUE
2 The district will create a steering committee for implementation.	2017-2022	District task force	\$0	n/a	Timeline, Meeting minutes  CONTINUE
3 The district will offer district cohort for Compassionate School training.	2017-2022	Director of Professional Development	\$0	n/a	D5 Explore  CONTINUE
4 The district will Include Compassionate Schools training in the new employee orientation each year.	2017-2022	Director of Personnel and Compassionate Schools trainer	\$0	n/a	Attendance Logs  CONTINUE

Performance G	Goal Area: [	Student Achievement District Priority	* Teacher/Adminis	strator Quality* X	] School Climate (Pare	nt Involvement, Safe an	d Healthy Schools, etc.)*
increments, shall be	n 43-261, measurable j e developed to address	performance goals, wri the major areas of disc the district and school	crepancy found in the	By 2021, The peroduring the school	•	ho feel safe (from a b	ehavioral standpoint)
SMART goal must WHO will do		by HOW and WHEN.					
INTERIM PERFO	ORMANCE GOAL:	Meet annual targets be	low.				
	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
DATA SOURCE(s):	90%	Projected Data	91%	92%	93%	94%	95%
School Report Card Survey		Actual Data	92.3%	89.8%			

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEG	Y #1: Createasocial	/emotionalenvironment	wherestudentsfeelsa	fe.	EVALUATION
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
The district will provide updated professional development for Olweus implementation.	2017-2022	District Olweus coordinator	\$10,000.00	General Fund	Professional development logs  CONTINUE
2 The district will convene a taskforce to develop a consistent district-wide character education program.		Director of Guidance, Guidance Counselors	\$0	n/a	District character education plan  CONTINUE

Performance Goal Area: (* required)	Student Achievement* District Priority	Teacher/Administrator	r Quality*	X School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools, etc.)*
PERFORMANCE GOAL: Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measural increments, shall be developed to addineeds assessment in key areas reported.	ress the major areas of discrep	in five-year ancy found in the	y 2021, the p	percentage of teachers reporting that morale is high will improve.

SMART goal must include: WHO will do WHAT, as measured by HOW and WHEN.

	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
DATA SOURCE(s): School Report Card	75%	Projected Data	78%	80%	81%	82%	82.5%
Survey (Teachers Question #10)		Actual Data	79.6	82.1%			

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY	Y #1: Provideoppor	tunitiesforimprovedtea	cherandstaffmorale		EVALUATION
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1 The district will recognize teachers	2017-2022	Director of Public	\$5000.00	General Fund	Board Meeting Presentations, TOY
and staff (such as D5's Finest).		Relations			and SEOY Banquets
					CONTINUE
2 The district will provide leadership	2017-2022	Director of Public	\$0	n/a	Teacher Forum log
opportunities for Teachers of the		Relations			CONTINUE
Year.					CONTINUE
3 The district will provide	2017-2022	District Office	\$0	n/a	Teacher Forum agendas, Surveys
opportunities for input and		Personnel			for curriculum and cohorts
feedback from faculty and staff.					CONTINUE

(* required)		X District Priority					
increments, shall	ion 43-261, measurable be developed to addre	e performance goals, w ss the major areas of di in the district and schoo	screpancy found in the	By 2021, the avera	ge student capacity a	t all schools will rem	nain at 85%.
SMART goal mu WHO will		d by HOW and WHEN					
INTERIM PER	FORMANCE GOAL:	: Meet annual targets b	pelow.				
	AVERAGE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
	BASELINE						
DATA SOURCE(s): Student Enrollment –	85%	Projected Data	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEG enrollment and capacity needs.	<b>ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY #1:</b> The district will use a variety of resources to monitor and adjust for student enrollment and capacity needs.					
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION	
	2017 - 2022	Assistant	\$0	N/A	Budget as approved by the Board of	
more space		Superintendent			Trustees	
		Operations			CONTINUE	
2 Continue 5 Year	2021 - 2022	Assistant	\$35,000	District	Completed study document	
Demographic/Facilities Plan		Superintendent			CONTINUE	
		Operations				
3 Improve facilities based on	2017 - 2022	Assistant	\$100,000	District	Budget as approved by the Board of	
identified needs		Superintendent	annually		Trustees	
		Operations			CONTINUE	
10 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2017-	Assistant	\$0	N/A	Meeting Minutes	
funding to build new Reidville Elementary School	2018	Superintendent			Agendas	
Elementary serioor		Operations			COMPLETED	
' ' ' '	2017-	Assistant	\$17,000-	District	Trustee approval of requested	
schools and/or expansion of existing schools	2022	Superintendent	\$20,000		funds for property acquisition	
		Operations	per acre		CONTINUE	

Performance Go	oal Area:	Student Achievement* X District Priority	Teacher/Administ	trator Quality* Sc	hool Climate (Parent In	volvement, Safe and Ho	ealthy Schools, etc.)*	
(* required)		Tr Bismeet Friendly						
increments, shall be	43-261, measurable developed to address	e performance goals, writte ss the major areas of discr in the district and school re	epancy found in the	By 2021, ratings in increase.	ndicating the maintena	ance and cleanliness of	of school facilities v	/ill
SMART goal must in WHO will do		d by HOW and WHEN.						
INTERIM PERFO	RMANCE GOAL:	Meet annual targets belo	w.					
	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	
DATA SOURCE(s):	61.1%	Projected Data	65.8	70.5	75.2	78.5	80	
Student Report Card Survey Q29		Actual Data	90.5	85.3				
Student Report Card Survey Q28	89.0%	Projected Data	90.25	91.75	93	94.25	95	
		Actual Data	93.0	88.8				
Student Report Card Survey Q 30	83.8%	Projected Data	85.04	86.28	87.52	88.76	90	
, .		Actual Data	91.3	59.7				

92.2

79.3

93.2

86.4

94.2

95.2

96.2

Student Report

Card Survey Q 31

91.2%

**Projected Data** 

**Actual Data** 

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEG	. EVALUATION				
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1 Provide maintenance and custodial personnel based on facility square foot needs	2017 - 2022	Assistant Superintendent Operations	\$30,000	District	Personnel Records Report  CONTINUE
2 Provide new and maintain existing equipment to support facility operations	2017 - 2022	Assistant Superintendent Operations	\$20,000	District	Budget as approved by the Board of Trustees  CONTINUE
3 Provide more efficient and safer equipment to support facility operations	2017- 2022	Assistant Superintendent Operations	\$20,000	Safety Grants/ District	Budget requests  Purchase orders Invoices  CONTINUE
4 Provide ongoing safety and job related training for operations staff members	2017- 2022	Assistant Superintendent Operations	\$0	N/A	Meeting agendas  Meeting minutes  Training materials  CONTINUE

	Performance Goal Area: (* required)	Student Achievement* X District Priority	Teacher/Administ	trator Quality*	School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools, etc.)*		
•	PERFORMANCE GOAL: Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measurable increments, shall be developed to address needs assessment in key areas reported in	s the major areas of discrep	ancy found in the	By 2021, the percentage of technology devices will be systematically refreshed/upgraded annually.			
	SMART goal must include: WHO will do WHAT, as measured	by HOW and WHEN.					

	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
DATA SOURCE(s):	20%	Projected Data	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%
Student Laptops Replaced		Actual Data	20%	5%			
Student Desktops Replaced	20%	Projected Data	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%
		Actual Data	4%	5%			
Staff Laptops Replaced	20%	Projected Data	5%	5%	90%	5%	5%
·cp.uccu		Actual Data	2%	5%			
Staff Desktops Replaced	20%	Projected Data	5%	5%	90%	5%	5%
Срисси		Actual Data	2%	5%			

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEG students and staff.	EVALUATION				
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1 Provide devices to support the	2017-	Asst. Supts. for	\$1.2M	District	District Technology Plan
one-to-one district initiative	2022	Curriculum & Operations			Invoices  Dist. Tech Inventory  CONTINUE
2 Provide devices to staff for	2017-	Asst. Supts. for	\$670,000	District	District Technology Plan
planning and instruction	2022	Curriculum & Operations			Invoices  Dist. Tech Inventory  CONTINUE
3 Repair/replace devices	2017-	Chief Tech.	\$100,000/yr	Tech Budget	District Tech Ticket
systematically	2022	Officer			Summary CONTINUE
4 Explore wireless internet access on	2018-	Asst. Supt.	\$0	N/A	Vendor Product Guides and
school buses	2019	Operations			Estimates  CONTINUE
5 Maintain and upgrade wireless	2017-	Chief Tech.	\$32,000/yr	Tech Budget	Tech Budget
access points	2022	Officer			Invoices
					CONTINUE

ool Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools, etc.)*

#### **PERFORMANCE GOAL:**

Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measurable performance goals, written in five-year increments, shall be developed to address the major areas of discrepancy found in the needs assessment in key areas reported in the district and school report cards.

SMART goal must include: WHO will do WHAT, as measured by HOW and WHEN.

DATA SOURCE:	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
Improve K-8 student safety ratings for "before	88.8	Projected Data	89.8	90.8	91.8	92.8	93.8
and after school" (Question 37)		Actual Data	90.5	89.8			
Improve K-8 student safety ratings for "going	90.0	Projected Data	91	92	93	94	95
to or coming from school" (Question 39)		Actual Data	92.2	92.5			
Improve 9-12 student safety ratings for "during	91.2	Projected Data	92.2	93.2	94.2	95.2	96.2
the school day" (Question 30)		Actual Data	87.3	76.8			

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEO	GY #1: The district	will provide equipme	nt to support studen	t and staff safety.	EVALUATION			
ACTIVITY	ACTIVITY  TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)  PERSON RESPONSIBLE  COST  FUNDING SOURCE							
1 Install new and upgrade existing facility security cameras	2017- 2022	Assistant Superintendent Operations	\$50,000 annually	District	Budget requests, invoices, PO's  CONTINUE			
2 Install new and upgrade existing security cameras on buses/vehicles	2017- 2022	Assistant Superintendent Operations	\$10,000 annually	District	Budget requests, invoices, PO's  CONTINUE			
3 Install new and upgrade existing interior/exterior door lock systems	2017- 2022	Assistant Superintendent Operations	\$10,000 annually	District	Budget requests, invoices, PO's  CONTINUE			
4 Install new and upgrade existing School Check-In systems	2019- 2022	Assistant Superintendent Operations	\$30,000	District	Budget requests, invoices, PO's  CONTINUE			
5 Maintain secure lobby areas	2017- 2022	Assistant Superintendent Operations	\$20,000	District	Budget requests, invoices, PO's  CONTINUE			
6 Install automated gates to control vehicle access	2017- 2019	Assistant Superintendent	\$4,000 per gate	District	Budget requests, invoices, PO's  CONTINUE			

7 Expand student ridership tracking	2017-	Assistant	\$5,000	District	Budget requests, invoices, PO's
system	2022	Superintendent	annually		system reports
					CONTINUE
8 Install GPS on district school	-		\$12,000 Initial	District	Budget requests, invoices, PO's
buses	2022	Superintendent	\$10,200		CONTINUE

Performance Goal Area: (* required)	Student Achievement* X District Priority	Teacher/Administ	rator Quality*	School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools, etc.)*
PERFORMANCE GOAL: Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measurable increments, shall be developed to address needs assessment in key areas reported in SMART goal must include: WHO will do WHAT, as measured	ss the major areas of discrep in the district and school rep	ancy found in the		bercentage of teachers who agree or strongly agree with a positive shool safety will increase.

DATA SOURCE:	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
Improve teacher ratings for safety "during the school	87.0%	Projected Data	88%	89%	91%	93%	95%
day"	87.0%	Actual Data	98.1%	97.6%			

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEG communications protocols related to	EVALUATION				
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1 Train teachers annually on safety protocols	2017- 2022	Director of Public Safety	\$0	N/A	Emergency Training Matrix  CONTINUE
2 Train Students annually on safety protocols	2017- 2022	Director of Public Safety	\$0	N/A	Emergency Training Matrix  CONTINUE
3 Coordinate annual Interagency exercises	2017- 2022	Director of Public Safety	\$0	N/A	Training logs  CONTINUE
4 Implement methods to inform parents of emergency protocols	2017- 2022	Director of Public Safety	\$5,000	District	Student/parent handout, D5 website/app  CONTINUE
5 Attend training to keep up-to-date on latest school security trends	2017- 2022	Director of Public Safety/	\$3,000 annually	District	Training Agendas, handouts/ Invoices  CONTINUE

Performance Goal Area: (* required)	Student Achievement* X District Priority	Teacher/Administr	rator Quality*	School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools, etc.)*
PERFORMANCE GOAL: Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measural increments, shall be developed to addr needs assessment in key areas reported	ress the major areas of discrep	ancy found in the		istrict will reduce its impact on the environment by implementing rams at all 12 schools and the district office.

SMART goal must include: WHO will do WHAT, as measured by HOW and WHEN.

DATA SOURCE:	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
Number of locations participating in the recycling program	9	Projected Data	10	11	12	13	13
(Principal Survey)	9	Actual Data	10	11	11		

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEG project at Wellford Academy.	EVALUATION				
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1 Consider implementing Wellford	2017-	Assistant Supt.	\$6,000	Grants/ PTO/	Invoices
Academy composting program at other elementary schools	2019	Operations		Business Partners	Purchase Orders
					CONTINUE
2 Explore using more eco-friendly	2018-	Asst. Supt.	\$10,000	District	Invoices
cafeteria products	2020	Operations	per year		Purchase Orders
					CONTINUE
3 Replace paper towel dispensers	2017-	Asst. Supt.	\$3,000	District	Invoices
with hand dryers	2022	Operations	per year		Work Orders
					CONTINUE
4 Implement recycling program at all	2017-	Asst. Supt.	\$1500	District/ PTO/	Invoices
district locations	2020	Operations	per year	Business Partners	Purchase Orders
					Surveys
					CONTINUE

Performance Goal Area: (* required)	Student Achievement* X District Priority	Teacher/Administ	rator Quality*	School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools, etc.)*
PERFORMANCE GOAL: Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measurable increments, shall be developed to address assessment in key areas reported SMART goal must include: WHO will do WHAT, as measured.	ess the major areas of discrept in the district and school rep	ancy found in the	By 2021, the cenergy use.	district will seek to reduce its impact on the environment by reducing

DATA SOURCE:	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
Energy Use in Kbtu from power bills		Projected Data	50,200 kBTU	49,900 kBTU	49,600 kBTU	49,300 kBTU	49,000 kBTU
		Actual Data	50,100 kBTU	50,500 kBTU			

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEG encourage additional savings in reso	EVALUATION				
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1 Continue funding district energy manager position	2017- 2022	Asst. Supt. Operations	\$13,500	District	Approved Trustee Budget  CONTINUE
2 Continue to train employees to conserve energy	2017- 2022	Asst. Supt. Operation	N/A	N/A	Energy Manager schedule and notes  CONTINUE
3 Dispose of equipment and fixtures in ecologically sound manner	2017- 2022	Asst. Supt. Operation	\$2,500 annually	District	Invoices/Purchase Orders /GovDeals.com records CONTINUE
4 Replace interior and exterior incandescent fixtures with LED units	2017- 2022	Asst. Supt. Operation	\$5,000 annually	District/ Duke Rebates	Invoices/Purchase Orders  CONTINUE
5 Install motion sensors in selected areas of existing facilities	2017- 2022	Asst. Supt. Operation	\$1,000 annually	District	Maintenance requests, purchase orders  CONTINUE

Performance Goal Area: (* required)	$\square$ Student Achievement* $\square$ Teacher/Admini etc.)* $\square$ District Priorities $\underline{X}$ Gifted and T				
PERFORMANCE GOAL: Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measurable pincrements, shall be developed to address needs assessment in key areas reported in SMART goal must include: WHO will do WHAT, as measured	the major areas of discrepancy found in the the district and school report cards.	By 2021, the percentage of students identified and being served as Gifted and Talented in grades 3-8 scoring 'Exceeds Expectations' on SC Ready-ELA will increase.			
INTERIM PERFORMANCE GOAL: Meet annual targets below.					

	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
DATA	57.1	Gr 3 Projected Data	61.1	65.1	69.1	73.1	77.1
SOURCE(s):		Gr 3 Actual Data	62.4	74.4			
SC READY	49.2	Gr 4 Projected Data	53.2	57.2	61.2	65.2	69.2
ELA		Gr 4 Actual Data	63	61.8			
Performance	47.5	Gr 5 Projected Data	51.5	55.5	59.5	63.5	67.5
		Gr 5 Actual Data	38.17	45.8			
	41.5	Gr 6 Projected Data	45.5	49.5	53.5	57.5	61.5
		Gr 6 Actual Data	44.05	43.8			
	43.8	Gr 7 Projected Data	47.8	51.8	55.8	59.8	63.8
		Gr 7 Actual Data	41.4	62.6			
	43.6	Gr 8 Projected Data	47.6	51.6	55.6	59.6	63.6
		Gr 8 Actual Data	37.2	45.4			

Performance Goal Area: (* required)		
PERFORMANCE GOAL: Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measurable pincrements, shall be developed to address needs assessment in key areas reported in SMART goal must include: WHO will do WHAT, as measured by	the major areas of discrepancy found in the the district and school report cards.	By 2021, the percentage of students identified and being served as Gifted and Talented in grades 3-8 scoring 'Exceeds Expectations' on SC Ready-Math will increase.
INTERIM PERFORMANCE GOAL:	Meet annual targets below.	

	AVERAGE BASELINE		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
DATA	75.8	Gr 3 Projected Data	77.8	79.8	81.8	83.8	85.8
SOURCE(s):		Gr 3 Actual Data	76.5	84.9			
SC READY	68.3	Gr 4 Projected Data	70.3	72.3	74.3	76.3	78.3
MATH		Gr 4 Actual Data	73	76.5			
Performance	52.6	Gr 5 Projected Data	56.6	60.6	64.6	68.6	72.6
		Gr 5 Actual Data	71.8	82.2			
	56.6	Gr 6 Projected Data	60.6	64.6	68.6	72.6	76.6
		Gr 6 Actual Data	74.4	76.1			
	46.4	Gr 7 Projected Data	50.4	54.4	58.4	62.4	66.4
		Gr 7 Actual Data	53.5	63.7			
	48.4	Gr 8 Projected Data	52.4	56.4	60.4	64.4	68.4
		Gr 8 Actual Data	62.2	63.2			

ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEGY based on Best Practices.	EVALUATION				
ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
Analyze results from school-wide curriculum needs assessment to guide the planning of professional development.	2017-2022	District and School Level Administration	\$0	,	The district and schools have professional development plans that include using results from needs assessment for planning
2. Continue study groups, book talks, and graduate courses to support research and Best Practices for Gifted and Talented students.	2017-2022	District and School Level Administration	\$25,000 (yr)	Local SC Reading SC Prof. Develop	The district and schools have professional development plans
3. Provide high quality professional development opportunities using the expertise of District Five professional staff and/or consultants.	2017-2022	District and School Level Administration	\$90,000 (yr)	SC Reading SC Prof. Develop.	The district and schools have professional development plans  CONTINUE
4. Continue to train administrators and teachers to use all available assessment data to determine professional development needs.	2017-2022	District and School Level Administration	\$0	n/a	The district and schools have professional development plans which include analysis of data  CONTINUE
5. Continue to provide opportunities for vertical articulation.	2017-2022	District and School Level Administration	\$0	n/a	Opportunities during the school year and summer are provided for teachers to meet and discuss curriculum

2017-2022	District and School	\$15,000 (yr)	Title I, II	Opportunities during the school
	Level Administration		60 5 1:	year and summer are provided for
			SC Reading	teachers to write curriculum
			Local	CONTINUE
2017-2022	District and School	\$0	n/a	Administrators use walk-through
	Level Administration			observation forms and conferences
				with teachers to monitor use of
				Best Practices
				CONTINUE
		Level Administration  2017-2022 District and School	Level Administration  2017-2022 District and School \$0	Level Administration SC Reading Local  2017-2022 District and School \$0 n/a

Performance Goal Area:

Student Achievement\* Teacher/Administrator Quality\* School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools, etc.)\*

(\* required)

Student Achievement\* Teacher/Administrator Quality\* School Climate (Parent Involvement, Safe and Healthy Schools, etc.)\*

#### **PERFORMANCE GOAL:**

Per SBE Regulation 43-261, measurable performance goals, written in five-year increments, shall be developed to address the major areas of discrepancy found in the needs assessment in key areas reported in the district and school report cards.

By 2021, the percentage of students in the special needs population at Wellford Academy and Abner Creek Academy scoring Does Not Meet Expectations on the SC READY ELA assessment will decrease.

#### SMART goal must include:

WHO will do WHAT, as measured by HOW and WHEN.

DATA SOURCE:	AVERAGE BASELINE		2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	
SC School Report Card	ACA – 75% WA – 88.2%	Projected Data	ACA – 75% WA – 88.2%%	ACA – 70% WA – 80%	ACA – 63% WA – 70%	ACA – 50% WA – 60%	
		Actual Data	ACA – 75% WA – 88.2%	ACA – WA -	ACA – WA -	ACA – WA -	

	ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEG needs subgroups at Wellford Acade	f the special	EVALUATION			
	ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1.	Implementation of a Curriculum Coach at Wellford and Abner Creek to work with teachers to implement the CI model as used by the Spartanburg Academic Movement	2018-2022	CI Coach	\$70,000/yr	ATSI funds	Work record, student records showing improvement of performance
2.	Promote the use of iReady Teacher Toolbox at ACA and WA to increase student participation and use of resultant data to drive instruction.		CI Coach	\$3000/yr	ATSI funds	Student performance in iReady software; student performance on SC READY

<b>Performance (</b> (* required)	Goal Area:	Student Achievement <sup>3</sup> District Priority	Teacher/Adminis X_ATSI Requirement		rhool Climate (Parent Ir	nvolvement, Safe and He	althy Schools, etc.)*
increments, shall b	m 43-261, measurable pe developed to addres in key areas reported i	performance goals, write ss the major areas of disc n the district and school i	repancy found in the			he special needs popul a for Career Ready wil	
WHO will do	o WHAT, as measured	d by HOW and WHEN.  Meet annual targets below	OW.				
OATA OURCE:	AVERAGE BASELINE	Meet ainiual targets ber	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	
C School Report ard – CCR	27.3%	Projected Data	27.3%	30%	40%	50%	
		Actual Data	27.3%				
				1		<u> </u>	

	ACTION PLAN FOR STRATEG tudents who meet the career ready	disabled	EVALUATION			
	ACTIVITY	TIMELINE (Start and End Dates)	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	ESTIMATED COST	FUNDING SOURCE	INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION
1.	Implement a Career Readiness Facilitator at BHS to assist students with WBL experiences.	2018-2022	Career Readiness Facilitator	\$70,000/yr	ATSI funds (3 years)	Increase in experiences recorded in PowerSchool.
2.	Increase student use and support of WIN preparation software	2018-2022	Special Needs teachers	Software provided by state	N/A	Increase in student use of software as noted in audit logs
3.	Increase in Disabled Students participating in CATE completer programs at BHS and RDA	2018-2022	Counselors, Career Readiness Facilitator, RDA Staff	\$0	N/A	Increased enrollments as shown in PowerSchool

П

#### GIFTED AND TALENTED REQUIRED TABLES

**DIRECTIONS:** As a part of the District Strategic Plan, complete the following tables, save as one PDF file, and upload under the tab labeled Gifted and Talented Required Tables. Additionally, attach up to four documents to support the final table. All information should be saved as one PDF file and uploaded. The required tables are as follows:

- A. Gifted and Talented Policies and Practices
- B. Gifted and Talented Scope and Sequence
- C. Gifted and Talented Grades of Academic Service
- D. Gifted and Talented Grades of Artistic Service
- E. Gifted and Talented Screening and Identification Notification

#### GIFTED AND TALENTED POLICIES AND PRACTICES

**Directions:** Place an X for an affirmative response in columns marked Academic and Artistic.

		ACADEMIC	ARTISITIC
	grades 1–2		
The district utilizes state identification of gifted and talented students for:	grades 3–5	Х	Х
The district utilizes state identification of girted and talented students for.	grades 6–8	X	Х
	grades 9–12		
	grades 1–2		
The district utilizes trial placement (1 year conditional placement) for:	grades 3–5		
The district duffizes trial placement (1 year conditional placement) for:	grades 6–8		
	grades 9–12		
	grades 1–2		
The district utilizes a local identification process (local criteria rubric) for:	grades 3–5		Х
The district utilizes a local identification process (local efficita rubile) for.	grades 6–8		X
	grades 9–12		
	grades 1–2		
The district utilizes a formal withdrawal policy for:	grades 3–5	Х	
The district delizes a formal withdrawar policy for.	grades 6–8	Х	
	grades 9–12	Х	

## GIFTED AND TALENTED SCOPE AND SEQUENCE

A gifted and talented scope and sequence is utilized in the following grades for:	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Academic				Χ	Χ	X	Χ	X	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Χ
Artistic				Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ
Formal gifted and talented curriculum is utilized in the following grades for:	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Academic				Χ	Χ	X	Х	X	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Χ
Artistic				Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Х

**DISTRICT:** Spartanburg Five

#### **INFORMATION FOR SCHOOL YEAR: 2018-19**

#### GIFTED AND TALENTED GRADES OF ACADEMIC SERVICE

			CUI	RRICULUM A	REA		
GRADE	MODEL	Use approved abbreviations for curriculum.	INTERDISCIPLINARY	ELA	МАТН	SCIENCE	SOCIAL STUDIES
K		Curriculum Used					
1		Curriculum Used					
2		Curriculum Used					
3	Wm and Mary	Wm and Mary ELA  Ment Math Minds	X				
4	Wm and Mary	Wm and Mary ELA  Ment Math Minds	X				
5	Wm and Mary	Wm and Mary ELA  Ment Math Minds	X				
6	Wm and Mary	Wm and Mary ELA  Ment Math Minds	X				
7	Honors Courses	Course Curricula		Х	Х		
8	Honors Courses	Course Curricula		Х	Х		
9	Honors and AP Courses	Course Curricula		Х	Х	Х	Х
10	Honors and AP Courses	Course Curricula		Х	Х	Х	Х
11	Honors and AP Courses	Course Curricula		Х	X	Х	Х
12	Honors and AP Courses	Course Curricula		Х	Х	Х	Х

#### GIFTED AND TALENTED GRADES OF ARTISTIC SERVICES

**DISTRICT:** Spartanburg Five

#### **INFORMATION FOR SCHOOL YEAR: 2018-19**

Model Used: William and Mary

GRADE	(Use approved abbreviations for curriculum.)	INTERDISCIPLINARY	DANCE	MUSIC	THEATRE	VISUAL ARTS	OTHER
K							
1							
2							
3	Creations					Х	
4	Creations					Х	
5	Creations					Х	
6	Creations					Х	
7	Advanced Art					Х	
8	Advanced Art					Х	
9	Honors and AP					Х	
10	Honors and AP					Х	
11	Honors and AP					Х	
12	Honors and AP					X	

#### GIFTED AND TALENTED SCREENING AND IDENTIFICATION NOTIFICATION

Describe the ways in which the district notifies parents and community of its nomination and identification.

Parents and others are notified numerous times each year as opportunities for academic and artistic screenings arise through the district and school websites, letters sent home, and school newsletters. The district also communicates screening results to parents as they are received. As GT rosters are updated for the next year, the schools maintain contact with parents regarding their placement and services provided.

Attach up to four relevant files pertaining to notification to the parents and community on GT identification screening. These may include brochures, parent letters, non-English versions, etc.

# DISTRICT FIVE SCHOOLS OF SPARTANBURG COUNTY

Post Office Box 307 • 100 North Danzler Road • Duncan, South Carolina 29334 Telephone: (864) 949-2350 • Fax: (864) 439-0051 • www.spart5.net

June 15, 2017

To the Parents/Guardians of:

Dear Parents/Guardians:

We are pleased to inform you that your child has met the current state requirements for the academic gifted & talented program. In order to qualify for the academic gifted/talented program, a student must meet at least two of the following criteria:

- 1) Dimension A: 93% or above on a nationally normed aptitude test
- 2) Dimension B: 94% or above on a nationally normed achievement test
- 3) Dimension C: Meet the grade level performance criteria required by the State Department of Education on performance tasks (This is administered only to those students who meet Dimension A or B.)

During the 2017-18 school year we will serve gifted/talented students in accelerated classes through an enriched curriculum designed to challenge them and help them reach their full potential. The curriculum will address and extend the state content standards through pacing and appropriate assignments. The teachers will share information about the curriculum with parents throughout the school year.

If you have questions or concerns or if you do not wish for your child to participate in this program, please notify your child's principal. Congratulations to you and your child for this accomplishment. Thank you in advance for your support.

Sincerely,

Dr. Scott Smith

South Six

**Director of STEM & Gifted Academic Services** 



## Office of Accountability, Research and STEM Programs

100 N. Danzler Road, Duncan, South Carolina 29334 O:(864)949-2350 F:(864)439-0051

November 20, 2017

Student Name School Grade

Dear <Parent>

Congratulations! <Student Name> has been recommended to take the Cognitive Abilities Test (CogAT) for possible qualification for Gifted and Talented Education in Spartanburg School District Five. Students who qualify receive advanced and enriched instruction during the school week, and students who qualify during the 2017-18 school year will be served beginning in the 2018-19 school year.

Qualification is based on test performance for achievement in reading and/or mathematics and for aptitude (reasoning). Results from your child's most recent results on national and state tests (such as Measures of Academic Progress (MAP), SC READY, etc.) will be reviewed for reading and math achievement. For aptitude assessment, your child will take part in the Cognitive Abilities Test. Your child will be scheduled by his/her school guidance counselor to participate in the CogAT aptitude test during the test window of November 27-29. If absent due to illness, your child will be rescheduled for make-up testing.

There is nothing your child needs to study or practice before testing. Things you can do to help your child include:

- Encourage your child to do his/her best.
- Make sure your child is on time for school.
- Make sure your child is well rested during the testing period.
- Your child should either have eaten breakfast at home or at school.

Additional assessments might be needed in the spring. You will be notified by February of your child's nomination status. If you have any questions, please let me know. Again, congratulations on your child's nomination.

Sincerely,

Scott M. Smith, Ph.D.

Jan 2 Ja

Director of Accountability, Research and STEM Education

# DISTRICT FIVE SCHOOLS OF SPARTANBURG COUNTY

Post Office Box 307 • 100 North Danzler Road • Duncan, South Carolina 29334 Telephone: (864) 949-2350 • Fax: (864) 439-0051 • www.spart5.net

June 15, 2017

To the Parents or Guardians of:

Dear Parent or Guardian:

I am writing to notify you of your child's current status to qualify for the Gifted and Talented (G/T) Academic program. As you know, «FIRST\_NAME» took at least one test this school year that would qualify them for G/T services in Spartanburg Five.

Placement in the G/T program is determined by meeting rigorous state-mandated criteria in at least **two out of three** dimensions that assess a student's reasoning abilities and academic achievement. A student must score the 93<sup>rd</sup> national percentile (or 96<sup>th</sup> overall) on Dimension A (aptitude) and at the 94<sup>th</sup> national percentile on Dimension B (achievement).

«FIRST\_NAME» was initially screened in Grade 2 with a group-administered aptitude test (Dimension A - CogAT) and a group administered achievement test (Dimension B — MAP Math & Reading). After Grade 2, «FIRST\_NAME» has had additional opportunities to qualify through a variety of assessments in multiple dimensions.

To date, «FIRST\_NAME» has not met G/T eligibility requirements for at least two of the three dimensions.

Please keep in mind that many students who qualify for G/T do not do so on their first attempt. Through grade 6, «FIRST\_NAME» will have many additional possible opportunities to qualify including MAP testing, end-of-year state testing, grades and alternate assessments. In addition, students in grades 3 through 5 can be referred to take the CogAT assessment each year. The window for referrals will open in September.

If you have questions about «FIRST\_NAME»'s performance on any of these assessments, or the requirements to qualify for G/T services, please do not hesitate to contact your school counselor, or me.

Sincerely,

Scott Smith

Sour Sit-

Director of STEM and Gifted Academic Programs



#### DISTRICT FIVE SCHOOLS OF SPARTANBURG COUNTY

Post Office Box 307 • 100 North Danzler Road • Duncan, South Carolina 29334

Telephone: (864) 949-2350 • Fax Number: (864) 439-0051 • www.spart5.k12.sc.us

#### Parent's REACH REFERRAL FORM

District Five Gifted and Talented Academic Program

### Referral deadline is September 30, 2017 for Grades 3, 4, and 5

Regarding:			
	Name of Stu	dent	
Birth date	Current School		Grade
I hereby grant permission t	o the district staff to conduct	t testing related to	the Gifted and
Talented program for (stud	ent name)		
I am aware that this inform interest of the above-menti	ation will be strictly confide oned student.	ntial and used onl	y in the best
Signature:		Date	:
Mother's name:	Father's r	name:	
Mailing Address:			
(City / Zip)			
Home Phone:	Business/cell Phones: _	(father's)	(mother's)

<sup>\*</sup>A referral form is not needed for students in grade 2, because they are automatically screened as part of the South Carolina Testing Program. Parents will be notified of the results next summer.



# District Proficiency-Based System Plan Evaluation

Office of Federal and State Accountability South Carolina Department of Education 1429 Senate Street, Room 501 Columbia, South Carolina 29201 The district has an approved Proficiency-Based System Plan pursuant to State Board of Education Regulation 43-234. Please evaluate the district's plan by answering the questions below.

School District Spartanburg Five Name: Dr. Ashley Atkinson

Title/Position: Assistant Superintendent for Instruction

Email Address: ashley.atkinson@spart5.net

Phone Number: (864)949-2350

1. Explain how your district/schools met its goals/needs by providing proficiency-based system instruction.

The Proficiency-Based System has allowed Spartanburg School District Five to expand current academic offerings to students. Spartanburg Five has noted an increase in participation and student achievement through the offering of the plan. Students show increases in achievement and demonstration of mastery before progressing. Students have been provided flexibility to accelerate or be afforded the extra time needed for course competency. One of the Proficiency-Based System benefits will be allowing students to take responsibility for their learning; thereby, increasing their engagement and motivation.

Student	Grade	Course	Teacher	Grade
			Harrelle Thompson	
Kelsey Nightingale	6	Math		65
			Rachel Johnston	
		ELA		60
			Tara LoPresti	
		Science		94
			Rachel Johnston	
		History		74
Bryson Osment	4	History	Susan Morales	100
		GUM	Susan Morales	100
		Spelling	Susan Morales	100
		Science	Susan Morales	100
		Comprehension	Susan Morales	100
		Vocabulary	Susan Morales	100
		Literature	Susan Morales	100
Carter Osment	k	Math	Susan Morales	100
		History	Susan Morales	100
		Handwriting	Susan Morales	100
		Language Skills	Susan Morales	100
		Lit/Comp	Susan Morales	100
		Phonics	Susan Morales	100
		Science	Susan Morales	100
		Prep Music	Susan Morales	100

	Art	Susan Maralas	100
	l Art	Susan Morales	100

Tyler Wright	8	German	Cynthia VanSlogteren	73
Tylei Wilgit	0		Carmen Holley Tara	
		Amer. Art	LaDrasti	85
		Science	LoPresti	84
		Algebra	Harrelle Thompson	87
		SC History	Rachel Johnston	74
		ELA	Rachel Johnston	
		PE-8	Arthur Jashienski	97
R. Tyler Cannon		Biology (S1)	Elizabeth Howell	80
,		Biology (S2)	Elizabeth Howell	83
		Algebra II (S1)	Lisa Sprinkle	65
		Algebra II (S2)	Lisa Sprinkle	58
		Englsih III (S1)	Angela Hays	66
		English III (S2)	Angela Hays	80
		Skills For Health	Kathryn David	93
Spencer Davis		English I (S1)	Angela Hays	95
·		English I (S2)	Angela Hays	88
		Comp. Science (S1)	Sheryl Sharp	93
		Comp. Science (S2)	Sheryl Sharp	93
		Spanish I (S1)	Bridget DeFazio	95
		Spanish I (S2)	Susan Morales	94
		Physical Science		
		(S1)	Janice Harrison	91
		Physical Science		
		(S2)	Janice Harrison	92
		Geography (S1)	Laura Kiser	91
		Geography (S2)	Laura Kiser	90
		PE (S1)	Kathryn David	97
		PE (S2)	Kathryn David	97
		Geometry (S1)	Lisa Sprinkle	83
		Geometry (S2)	Lisa Sprinkle	90
		Skills For Health	Kathryn David	92
Zachary Green		English I (S1)	Angela Hays	60
		English I (S2)	Angela Hays	81
		Algebra I (S1)	Lisa Sprinkle	80
		Algebra II (S2)	Lisa Sprinkle	75
Haley Holliday		Englsih III (S1)	Angela Hays	88
		English III (S2)	Angela Hays	83
		US History (S1)	Laura Kiser	85
		US History (S2)	Laura Kiser	81
		Chemistry (S1)	Tara LoPresti	75
		Chemistry (S2)	Tara LoPresti	71

	1		_
	Skills For Health	Kathryn David	90
	Criminology	Connie Blyther	82
	Algebra II (S1)	Lisa Sprinkle	69
	Algebra II (2)	Lisa Sprinkle	70
	Vet Science	Zachary Pressley	92
Brian Hunter	English III (S1)	Angela Hays	80
	English III (S2)	Angela Hays	79
	Geometry (S1)		
	Geometry (S2)	Lisa Sprinkle	65
	US History (S1)	Laura Kiser	79
	US History (S2)	Laura Kiser	81
	Intro Entrep	Sheryl Sharp	86
	Earth Science (S1)	Tara LoPresti	80
	Earth Science (S2)	Tara LoPresti	86
	Prac. Math (S1)	Lisa Sprinkle	70
	Prac. Math (S2)	Lisa Sprinkle	62
Jaden Jenkins	World History (S1)	Laura Kiser	92
	World History (S2)	Laura Kiser	89
	Comp Science (S1)	Sheryl Sharp	96
	Comp Science (S2)	Sheryl Sharp	94
	Sports/Ent	Sheryl Sharp	90
Kayla Neves	Comp Science (S1)	Sheryl Sharp	91
1124121	Comp Science (S2)	Sheryl Sharp	91
	Geography (S1)	Laura Kiser	89
	Geography (S2)	Laura Kiser	96
	English I (S1)	Angela Hays	86
	English I (S2)	Angela Hays	79
	Physical Science	Angela Hays	73
	(S1)	Janice Harrison	93
	Physical Science		
	(S2)	Janice Harrison	94
	PE (S1)	Kathryn David	100
	PE (S2)	Kathryn David	99
	Skills For Health	Kathryn David	95
	Algebra I (S1)	Lisa Sprinkle	88
	Algebra I (S2)	Lisa Sprinkle	86
Madilyn Pitts	English I (S1)	Angela Hays	91
,	English I (S2)	Angela Hays	76
	Comp Science (S1)	Sheryl Sharp	85
	Comp Science (S2)	Sheryl Sharp	76
	Algebra I (S1)	Lisa Sprinkle	81
	Algebra I (S2)	Lisa Sprinkle	74
	Physical Science	ыза эртпікіс	<b>'</b>
	(S1)	Janice Harrison	90
	Physical Science		
	(S2)	Janice Harrison	91

Creative Writing		
(S1)	Angela Hays	94
Creative Writing		
(S2)	Angela Hays	95
Geography (S1)	Laura Kiser	77
Geography (S2)	Laura Kiser	83
Fine Arts (S1) Lauren Doran		84
Fine Arts (S2)	Lauren Doran	91
Skills For Health	Kathryn David	88
PE (S1)	Kathryn David	98
PE (S2)	Kathryn David	97

- 2. Please list the specific courses students took. See above.
- 3. Please provide the number of students who took a course, and how many students successfully completed it. *See above*.
- 4. Please list the South Carolina properly certified teachers who were assigned to the above students. *See above*.
- 5. Please have the properly certified content area teachers provide examples of how they directly assisted struggling students. Examples include manipulating or differentiating on-line curriculum to accommodate the student's learning modalities, providing tutoring, exchanging emails to discuss lessons, etc.

In the District Five model, students complete proficiency-based instruction virtually. Teachers communicate with students digitally (messaging, email, etc. As instruction is self-paced, it meets the students' needs for appropriate pacing. Teachers are able to monitor student progress and manipulate instruction (including modifying lesson structure and means of demonstrating mastery) to suit individual students. The curriculum used allows teachers the flexibility to group and rearrange units in a means that may make more sense to certain students. They can also adjust the pacing of instruction or vary assessments for each student based on their current pathway.

6. Provide examples of how schools used content-recovery (best practices or alternative methods of instruction) for low performing students in danger of failing a course.Content recovery is a tool that provides teachers and students with a more immediate response to poor performance on assessments related to a smaller set or subset of standards for the course. The ability for students to immediately review and reapply their knowledge to demonstrate mastery has helped tremendously instead of the students having to wait until the course is complete and they fail.

Teachers provide the content recovery at a pace that is conducive to the student's learning modalities, but also have to provide motivation in many instances to keep students moving, as in more cases than not, some of the students who need content recovery are not the most motivated in the first place. Content recovery also allows teachers to differentiate curriculum to students and not artificially hinder the students who are ready to move forward with new material.

7. Please attach any revisions or updates to your existing proficiency-based system plan. N/A

#### 2018 District Summer School Program Sites Identification

District:	Spartanburg Five	District Contact Name	Jill Brady
District Contact Num	(864)949-2350	Contact email:	Jill.brady@spart5.net

#### **■ NO SUMMER SCHOOL PROGRAM SITES FOR SUMMER 2018**

**Directions:** 

- 1) List and complete all information for all school sites in the district that will implement a Summer School Program.
- 2) SBE Reg. 43-240: Summer School Program Criteria
  - a. Answer "Yes" if the Summer School Program meets the following SBE Reg. 43-240 criteria:
    - Grades 1–8 students are required to attend the Summer School Program in order to be promoted to the next grade level.
    - ✓ Grades 9–12 students are awarded high school credit. (This does not include Credit Recovery.)
  - b. Answer "No" if the Summer School Program does not meet the criteria stated above.

Name of Sites for Summer School	Name of Summer School	E-mail Address of	Purpose of Summer	Meets	SBE			
Program	Site Administrator	Summer School Site	School Program	Reg.	43-	Check one		
		Administrator	(Promotion in	24				
			grades 1-8, Initial	Sum			check one	
			HS Credit, Read to	Sch				
			Succeed, Credit	Prog				
			Recovery, or other)	Criteria				
				Yes	No	Elem.	Middle	High
Abner Creek Academy						Υ		
Duncan Elementary School of the Arts						Υ		
Lyman Elementary						Υ		
River Ridge Elementary						Υ		
Reidville Elementary						Υ		
Wellford Academy						Υ		

Please upload this completed form as a PDF document into the Strategic Renewal Application (as part of the 2018–19 District Strategic Plan) no later than <u>Wednesday, April 30, 2018.</u> If you have any questions, contact Karen Byrum at 803-734-8489 or by e-mail at <u>kbyrum@ed.sc.gov</u>.